# FIELD CROP **ENTERPRISE BUDGETS**

# 1987 PROJECTIONS AND GROWER WORKSHEETS

**New York State** 

Darwin P. Snyder

William F. Lazarus

Department of Agricultural Economics
Cornell University Agricultural Experiment Station
New York State College of Agriculture and Life Sciences
A Statutory College of the State University
Cornell University These New York 14952 Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, 14853

It is the policy of Cornell University actively to support equality of educational and employment opportunity. No person shall be denied admission to any educational program or activity or be denied employment on the basis of any legally prohibited discrimination involving, but not limited to, such factors as race, color, creed, religion, national or ethnic origin, sex, age or handicap. The University is committed to the maintenance of affirmative action programs which will assure the continuation of such equality of opportunity.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>ge</u>
Introduction		1
Purpose		1
The Budget Procedure		2
The Budget Procedure		3
Sources of Data		3
Suggestions for Use		
Product Prices and Input Costs	• •	4
Budget Format		4
Budget Results	• •	7
Budgets		10
Grower Worksheets		20
Appendix		28
Appendix		

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to recognize the assistance of members of the Departments of Agronomy and Agricultural Engineering at Cornell University and various industry sources in gathering data on which this study is based. We also appreciate the help of our support staff in processing, printing, and distributing this publication.

# LIST OF TABLES

		<u>Page</u>
<u>Table</u> 1	Product Prices and Input Costs	5
<u>FI</u>	ELD CROP ENTERPRISE BUDGETS	
<u>500</u>	O Acre Dairy Farm	
2	Variable Costs	10
3	Comparison of Returns Over Variable Costs	11
<u>1</u> .,	200 Acre Crop Farm #1 - No Participation in Government Program	.5
4.	Variable Costs	
5	Comparison of Returns Over Variable Costs	13
1	,200 Acre Crop Farm #1 - Government Program Participation and Non-Participation Compared	
6	Variable Costs	. 14
7	Comparison of Returns Over Variable Costs	
1	1,200 Acre Crop Farm #2 - No Participation in Government Progra	ms
8	Variable Costs	. 16
9	Comparison of Returns Over Variable Costs	
	Comparisons of Farm Total Costs and Returns	10
10	Dairy Farm and Crop Farms #1 and #2	
11	Crop Farm #1 - various crops	19
12	Budgeted Fuel and Repair Costs for Machinery Needed to Grow and Harvest Selected Crops, 1987	
APPEN	<u>DIX</u>	
	Crop Machinery Investment	0.0
1	500 Acre Dairy Farm	28
2	1,200 Acre Crop Farm #1	29
3	1,200 Acre Crop Farm #2	30
4	Machinery Operating Factors	31

## Field Crop Enterprise Budgets 1987 Projections and Grower Worksheets

Darwin P. Snyder and William F. Lazarus\*

### Introduction

The profitability of a farm business is determined by many production and management factors. Perhaps the most elusive of these factors is business management. In order to better manage and improve the profitability of a business, a manager must use many tools to assist in planning, organizing and controlling its operations. One tool that can assist in determining business strengths and weaknesses and in planning the organization and operation of the business is enterprise analysis.

Enterprise analysis involves examining the parts which comprise the business and the interactions between them. With a farm, the parts of the business are the various crop and livestock enterprises. Enterprise analysis involves viewing each crop and livestock activity as a separate unit with their respective receipts and expenses including labor requirements and fixed costs. Thus, rather than scrutinizing only the total farm business, the emphasis is placed on examining forage, grain, livestock and cash crop enterprises and the interactions between them. By examining receipts and all expenses for each enterprise, the strengths and weaknesses of the business can be brought into sharper focus.

Because no two farms have identical resources available, the most profitable combination of enterprises will be unique to each farm. The impact on the business of changes such as adding or deleting an enterprise, increasing rates of production, or altering the size of an enterprise is determined specifically for that farm through enterprise analysis.

The objective of this publication is to provide a data base to assist New York farmers in analyzing field crop enterprises. Enterprise budgets for selected New York field crops are presented and discussed. These budgets are useful for cash crop and livestock farms in New York as well as other states, particularly in the Northeast. Because resources and cost structures in many areas of the Northeast are similar to New York, a budget constructed for other areas of the Northeast would be very similar to the budgets in this publication.

#### Purpose

The purpose of this publication is to construct 1987 budgets for field crop enterprises typically found on dairy and cash crop farms in

<sup>\*</sup> Research Associate and Assistant Professor, respectively, at Cornell University.

New York State. These are partial budgets which include only the operating costs for each crop and the returns that might be expected from current prices for somewhat better than average yields.

The results of these budgets will help the user to assess current relative economic advantages of the crops considered. With this information, researchers and farm managers will have a base of information that will help them to advise and make better informed decisions about profitable combinations of crop enterprises. The results can be used as presented or as adapted to meet the conditions of a specific farm business in the budgeting process.

# The Budget Procedure

The crop enterprise budgets in this publication are constructed using the economic-engineering approach. This procedure uses current prices for operating costs such as seed, fertilizer, chemicals and supplies. Other variable costs such as machinery repairs and fuel are calculated using engineering data for the operation of the machinery complements assumed for the farm operation.

Although all costs of production need to be considered to determine enterprise profits, these budgets are designed to aid in making short run, annual decisions about enterprise size and mix. With relatively stable fixed costs to spread over the crop acreage, the variable costs, considered here, will provide an estimate of the annual operating costs for each crop. These costs and assumed crop values are used to estimate the net contribution each crop will make toward meeting the fixed costs and other obligations of the farm operator.

The budgets are developed within the context of either a dairy farm or a crop farm. Dairy farms of various sizes are common throughout New York State. The Central and Western New York counties are the most likely locations for crop farms and the larger dairy farms. Budget results should be interpreted and applied in the light of the assumptions made. They can be used for specific farm situations if differences related to enterprise size and yield, and machinery complements are recognized.

Crops common to a dairy farm are budgeted for a 500 acre, 200 cow dairy farm. The crop mix includes 250 acres of hay crops harvested as 100 acres of dry hay and 150 acres of hay crop silage. Two hundred acres of corn are harvested as 150 acres of corn silage and 100 acres of high moisture ear corn. Horizontal silos are used to store silage and a tower silo is used for the high moisture corn.

A 1,200 acre cash crop farm is used as the framework to develop budgets for various field crops common to New York State. Two approaches are budgeted - without and with participation in the 1987 ASCS feed grain program. The basic crop mix for this farm includes 100 acres of dry hay, 750 acres of corn grain, 200 acres of a row crop and 150 acres of a small grain crop.

Each of these two types of farms has a field machinery complement typical of what might be expected to grow and harvest the crops grown on

that farm. Tractors and equipment used directly for livestock care are not included in the machinery complement. Engineering data for each piece of machinery are used to calculate operating and ownership costs for use in the budgets.

The budgets are developed for a given yield level and enterprise size for each crop enterprise. Annual operating costs are included to grow and harvest the crop. Harvest costs for the feed crops on the dairy farm include costs associated with placing the crop in farm storage. Harvest costs for the cash crop enterprises include costs necessary to prepare the crop for sale at the farm gate at harvest time. Costs to store the crops are not included for either farm.

Returns for each crop are based on estimates of values at harvest time and somewhat better than average yields. Cultural practices and input costs are reflective of good yield expectations. Hay crop yields on the dairy farm were assumed to average three tons of dry hay whether harvested dry or as silage. Yield for the acre equivalents of hay crop silage was expressed in terms of hay equivalent to relate production to the value of more readily marketable hay. High moisture corn yields are consistent with the nitrogen input level for corn grain and the tendency for dairymen to harvest the more mature corn as grain rather than silage. Yields for the crop farms are also reflective of the input levels used and good cultural practices.

The budgeting procedure has involved the use of a computer spreadsheet program in the form of templates developed primarily to calculate operating costs for tractors and equipment used to produce the crops. Machinery related assumptions such as price, life, amount of annual use and other factors will have an effect on operating costs charged to the crops. The assumptions used in the templates are believed to be reasonably indicative of the experience of New York crop producers and are presented in the Appendix of this publication.

### Sources of Data

Many sources of data have been used in the construction of the budgets. Cultural practices and input levels were assumed with reference to 1987 Cornell Recommends for Field Crops. These practices were adapted to the budgets with the help of members of the Department of Agronomy at Cornell. Several commercial sources provided current prices on crop inputs and farm machinery. Engineering formulas and data used to calculate machinery costs were obtained from agricultural engineering sources. Contact with farm operators enhanced the judgment of the authors in compiling reasonable machinery complements and enterprise combinations.

# Suggestions for Use

The field crop budgets presented in this publication have several applications. One obvious use is to provide an estimate of current operating costs for a variety of field crops commonly grown in the State. The results can be used to determine relative direct costs to grow and harvest the budgeted crops. For the crop farm, results of not

participating in the 1987 feed grain program can be compared with participation on the same farm.

The budgets can also be used to plan annual crop acreage combinations. As a starting point, they can be adapted to an individual set of practices and prices to provide estimates of cash flow needs and potential profits for a new year.

Finally, the budgeting process can help explore implications for major changes in enterprise size. Most variable costs included in the budgets will not change significantly with changes in enterprise size. However, as changes in enterprise size or practices dictate changes in equipment size and mix, operating costs per acre for repairs and fuel are likely to change to some degree.

Care must be exercised in using the enterprise budgets for they are only one estimate of costs and returns. They are not designed to represent average New York State conditions; instead they represent a specific set of conditions specified in the footnotes and accompanying tables. It is difficult for a user to compare his situation with an "average" so that he can make necessary adjustments. With a specified compare his conditions, the user has a basis for comparison. The user should farm situation differs significantly from the assumed conditions, the budgeted values must be critically analyzed and often changed. A budget procedures to a specific farm situation.

# Product Prices and Input Costs

The prices and costs used in the budgets are shown in Table 1. The product prices are believed to be reasonable expectations for the 1987 crop year in New York State. Feed grain prices are estimated local support prices. Deficiency payments for corn and wheat are shown since one budget estimates the effect of program participation for these crops. The user should include the effects of participation on enterprise costs and returns and on the whole farm business as he makes decisions about his cropping program.

Input costs are representative of what producers can expect to pay for seed, fertilizer, chemicals, supplies and other costs in 1987.

### Budget Format

Because these budgets are intended to aid in making short-run management decisions, the format includes provision for only variable costs for each crop. Budgets are presented for the dairy farm and several situations on the crop farm.

The dairy farm (Tables 2 and 3) and cash crop farm include the crop enterprise mixes described earlier. Two crop farm situations are budgeted for different corn planting methods. Crop Farm #1 (the base farm) is budgeted for corn grain grown using conventional tillage practices (Tables 4 and 5). Crop Farm #2 has the same crop mix and acreages

Table 1. Product Prices and Input Costs

		PRICES			
Product			Unit		Price
rioduct			<u> </u>		
10.15- and grass	•		ton		\$70.00
Hay-alfalfa and grass	•		ton		21.00
Corn silage			bu		2.00
Corn grain	4-		bu		1.21
Corn deficiency payme	3116		ton		45.00
HM ear corn (33% mc.)	)		bu		2.50
Wheat			bu		2.10
Wheat deficiency pay	ment		bu		1.50
Oats	,				50.00
Straw			ton bu		4.50
Soybeans					0.20
Red kidney beans			1b		
		COSTS			•
Item	Unit	Cost	Item	Unit	Cost
Sand			<u>Chemicals</u> <sup>a</sup>		
<u>Seed</u> Alfalfa	1Ъ	\$2.76	2,4-D	<u>g1</u>	\$10.05
Timothy	1b	0.99	2,4-DB	g1	15.62
~	unit	66.00	Atrazine 4L	gl	8.37
Corn	bu	6.91	Benlate 50WP	1ъ	13.63
Wheat, winter	bu bu	4.40	Dual 8E	gl	49.5
Oats	bu	13.00	Eptam 7E	g1	23.46
Soybeans	1b	0.60	Furadan 15G	1b	1.48
Red kidney beans	TD	0,.00	Lasso	gl	20.9
			Lorox L	gl	57.4
<u>Fertilizer</u>	-11	Λ 10	Paraquat	gl	48.5
N	1b	0.18	Thimet 20G	1b	1.3
P	1b	0.19	Treflan	g1	29.6
K	1b	0.11	Malathion 5E	g1	18.1
		00 75		gl	13.3
<u>Lime</u> , spread	ton	23.75	Methoxychlor 2E Seed treatment	ac	0.5
<u>Labor</u>		7 1 5	O <u>ther</u>		
Regular	hr	7.15	Twine (9,000 ft.)	bale	18.5
Hourly, seasonal	hr	5.10	Diesel-field	g1	0.7
		40.0		g1	0.9
Capital	ક	10.2	Diesel-road	_	0.8
-			Gasoline-field, R		0.9
			Gasoline-road, UL		0.7
	•		LP Gas-propane	g1	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Trade names are used as examples and do not imply endorsement.

but the budgets are adapted for corn grain grown using no-till related practices, machinery and inputs (Tables 8 and 9). Other management practices are assumed to be the same for both crop farms.

Budgets for other situations are presented for Crop Farm #1. Budgets are presented for red kidney beans and oats substituted for soybeans with no equipment or acreage changes (Tables 4 and 5). Also, enterprise budgets are presented for the base crops on Crop Farm #1 assuming participation in the corn and wheat feed grain programs with acreages at or slightly less than the maximum permitted acreages for each crop (Tables 6 and 7).

Variable costs are divided into four categories: cash costs for growing and harvesting the crop, interest on these operating costs and labor costs. Labor is included as a variable cost because of the varying requirements for the different crops.

The first table for each farm situation (Tables 2, 4, 6 and 8) provide some detail for the various categories as well as the total of these variable costs. Numbers in parentheses indicate physical quantities of those inputs.

The second table for each situation (Tables 3, 5, 7 and 9) compares the variable costs and returns for each crop. The tables also illustrate the effects of crop price or yield changes on returns per unit for each enterprise.

In analyzing the short-run income and profitability of each crop, the net returns per acre or unit over variable costs provides a basis for comparison. This factor shows how much each crop acre or unit contributes to fixed or overhead costs for each enterprise. Fixed costs include the ownership costs (depreciation, interest, taxes, insurance, and housing) or the machinery complement and land costs. The factor does not include a contribution toward the costs of marketing the crop since the assumption has been made that the crop is priced at harvest.

An analysis that included the marketing activity would involve assumptions related to the average crop price received during the marketing period and the costs associated with the marketing effort. These costs would include storage, interest on the stored crop, processing, packaging, transportation and any other items that had an effect on the price received for the crop. Marketing practices vary widely between farms and are best analyzed apart from production practices and on a farm specific basis.

Tables 2 through 9 show budgeted variable costs for typical crops in the various farm situations. The next two tables (Tables 10 and 11) provide comparisons of total costs and returns for the farms in each situation. These tables include the fixed costs for machine ownership and a charge for the use of the land. Land is charged at the current

average rental rate paid for cropland by New York farmers. At \$30 per acre, actual costs of ownership are understated. However, a common rental rate applied consistently to each enterprise and farm situation prevents differences in taxes and land values from affecting enterprise result comparisons.

Tables 10 and 11 show the total value of all crops grown in each combination of field crop enterprises. It should be noted that these values represent harvest time values and the budget costs do not include storing or marketing costs. To be successful, marketing efforts should result in crop prices enough higher than harvest time values to more then offset storing and marketing costs.

Total variable costs for the farm situations shown in the tables will provide some indication of the cash flow needs to grow and harvest the crops. These needs can be compared for the various crop enterprise combinations shown.

#### Budget Results

Total variable costs per acre for the field crops grown on the dairy farm were quite similar. With the yields and crop values assumed for these budgets, net returns per acre over variable costs, except for corn silage, were also quite similar. Corn silage, valued at 30 percent of the price of hay as harvested, had a considerably higher net return per acre.

Comparisons of the various crop enterprises on the crop farms indicate notable differences in enterprise net returns over variable costs. Since the crop acre is the unit of production, the net return per acre is valid for enterprise comparisons. Table 5 illustrates the advantage, in 1987, of both red kidney beans and oats over soybeans under the assumptions used. Both crops are projected to contribute about \$45 to \$50 per acre more than soybeans toward the fixed costs and other financial needs of the farm business.

In Tables 6 and 7, the effects of participation in the corn and wheat feed grain programs are compared with the effects of non-participation. Enterprise size for both situations is held constant; that is, set-aside acres are included with producing acres. By including set-aside acres, costs and returns per acre for the participating enterprise are proportionately less than for the non-participating enterprise. The combination of lower costs and government payments for participating in the feed grain programs results in higher net returns and illustrates the advantage participating growers have over non-participating growers for each enterprise.

The results of conventional tillage and no-till practices for corn can be compared from Tables 4 and 5 and Tables 8 and 9. No-till corn

D. P. Snyder, <u>Real Estate Rental Rates, New York State, 1984</u>, A.E. Ext. 85-21, Department of Agricultural Economics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, 14853-7801.

production practices are assumed to involve higher total variable costs and expected lower average yields than conventional practices. Lower growing costs for labor and machinery fuel and repair costs are more than offset by higher nitrogen, lime and chemical costs per acre. Net returns over variable costs are over \$25 per acre higher for conventionally tilled corn.

Differences in net returns per acre for individual enterprises are reflected in the results for the overall farm businesses of which the enterprises are a part. Tables 10 and 11 indicate levels of net returns over variable costs for each farm situation for the crop costs detailed in previous tables. This factor provides an indication of the amount available from the current year's crop proceeds to meet fixed costs, debt service, capital purchases and management expectations of the farm operator. The farm operator's labor cost is included with other labor.

Net returns over total costs for each farm situation in the tables provides a comparison of returns to management and profit for the operator of the farm business. The dairy farm has income from livestock, not shown in the tables, in addition to the value of crops fed on the farm. Therefore, it is not easily compared with the cash crop farm situations. Table 10 indicates a positive contribution to farm profit from the crop enterprises on the dairy farm using the assumed crop values, yields and costs.

Overall farm results for the crop farm vary widely for the various situations budgeted. Results shown in Table 10 indicate conventional corn has an advantage over no-till corn even though net returns over total costs are negative in both cases. Likewise, a significant advantage is shown for the crop farm situation involving participation in the feed grain programs compared to the other non-participating crop farm situations. Table 11 indicates the non-participating crop farm can be expected to have higher net returns with an oat enterprise than with red kidney beans. Either of these enterprises should produce better results than if soybeans are grown in 1987.

Reference is made to Appendix Tables 1 through 4 which show data for the crop machinery complements used for the dairy farm and each of the two crop farm budgets.

# Notes to the following Tables 2, 4, 6 and 8:

a. Seed - Cost for hay crops represents the annual cost for 12 pounds of alfalfa and five pounds of timothy allocated over a four year life of the stand.

Corn seed: 24-26 thousand kernels per acre.

- b. Fertilizer hay crops Includes 25 percent of fertilizer required for seeding.
  - Corn silage Nitrogen reduced because of manure application.
- c. Lime Application should be based on soil test results. One-half ton of lime per acre is assumed for annual pH maintenance except for a somewhat higher requirement for no-till corn to maintain pH in the seed zone.
- d. Chemicals materials and rates per acre.
  - Hay crops 2,4-DB (0.5 qt) for seeding. Methoxychlor 2E (0.75 gal) Malathion 5E (0.3 gal) applied annually to 15 percent of the acreage.
  - Corn Conventional tillage Dual 8E (2 pt), and Atrazine 4L (1
     qt) per acre; seed treatment; Furadan 15G (6.7 lb/ac on
     1/2 acreage).

  - Soybeans Dual 8E (2 pt), Lorox L (1 qt); seed treatment
    Red Kidney Beans Eptam 7EC (2 pt), Treflan 4E (1 pt); seed treatment, Sevin 80S (1.25 lb)

Winter Wheat and Oats - 2,4-D (0.75 pt).

- e. Interest Calculated on growing and harvesting expenses at 10.2 percent for the crop production period.
- f. Labor Hours based on 1.3 times machinery hours. Additional hours added for handling hay and straw.
- g. Drying Corn assume removal of 10 percentage points of moisture at three cents per point or 30 cents per bushel for all drying related costs.

### Notes to Tables 6 and 7:

- a. Costs and returns for participating corn and wheat enterprises are averaged over the total enterprise acreage including set-aside acreage.
- b. Other returns per acre:
  - Corn = 90 bu/ac ASCS yield x \$1.21/bu deficiency payment x 80%
     of base acres = \$87.12/ac.
  - Wheat =  $((45 \text{ bu/ac ASCS yield x } \$2.10 \text{ bu deficiency payment}) + \$50/\text{ac straw}) \times 72\% \text{ of base acres} = \$104.04/\text{ac}.$

Field Crop Enterprise Budgets Variable Gosts 500 Acre, 200 Cow Dairy Farm - 1987 Projected

Table 2.

52 (46) (46) (46) (46) (46) (46) (46) (46)	Hay Crop Silage  150 3.0 tons (HE) \$ 9.52 (26M H 7) 1.26 (46 5) 6.65 (46 5) 6.65 (40 5) 11.88 (0.5 1.60 1.60 2.00 2.00 58.19 10.49 17.61 0.00 3.00 31.10 4.55 93.84		committee High Moist. Ear Corn 150 100	tons 5.3 tons	<b>^</b> -		09.	.20 (40)	4.			5.13 5.13	00.9	ì	85.99 99.31		6.98		•	5.00	22.79 20.69	3.70 4.08	112.48 124.08	<u>29.05</u> (3.2) <u>22.53</u>	
	Hay  100  3.0  (7)  (35)  (57)  (6.5)  11  1  11  2  2  2  2  2  3  6  90.2  4  4  4  4  4  127.8	Crop Silage		tons		52	1.26	6.27	.5) 11.88 ((	.34	•	1.60	2,02	ا ا	$\infty$	•	10.49	19.71	00:0	00.00	51.10	4.55		30,16	124.01

Field Crop Enterprise Budgets Comparison of Returns Over Variable Costs Table 3.

Compa	Comparison of Acturis Dairy Farm - 1	1987 Projected	!	
	Нач	Hay Crop	Corn	High Mois.
Grop	ries)	Silage	Silage	Ear Corn
Acres	100	150 3 0 tons (HE)	130 17 tons	5.3 tons
Per	\$70.00	\$70.00	\$21.00	
Price Fer Unit	\$	\$\$	w-	ሎ
Returns per acre	210.00	210.00	357.00	238.50
Crop O+ber	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00
Total returns	210.00	210.00	357.00	238.50
Total variable costs per acre	127.84	124.01	141.53	146.61
Net returns over variable costs:	90 16	85.99	215.47	91.89
- per acre - per unit produced	27.39	28.66	12.67	17.34
Break-even price per unit		•		;
of primary product to cover variable costs	42.61	41.34	8.33	27.66
SENSITIVITY TO CROP PRICE OR YIELD CH	CHANGES:			
20% Lower Crop Price or Yield		( ) ( )		190.80
Total returns per acre	168.00	768.00	00.007	, , ,
Net returns over variable costs:	,0 16	43.99	144.07	44.19
- per acre - per unit produced	13,39	14.66	8.47	8.34
20% Higher Crop Price or Yield		6	07 867	286.20
Total returns per acre	252.00	727.00	) } †	!
Net returns over variable costs:	10% 16	127.99	286.87	139.59
<ul><li>per acre</li><li>per unit produced</li></ul>	41.39	42.66	16.87	26.34
*				

Field Crop Enterprise Budgets
Variable Costs
1,200 Acre Grop Farm #1 - 1987 Projected
(No participation in government programs)

Table 4.

																						٠.						
	For Sovbeans		Σď.	o-	u L		(30) 5.70	20)	(0.5) 11.88	•	4.34		•	52.62			4.27	6.47	00.0	2.00	3.00	18.74	2.43		73.79	(3.4) 24.39	80	07.06
	Substituted	RK Beans 200	1,200 1b.	÷	07 05 (41 78)		(62) 11.78	(43) 4.73	(0.5) II.88 29.86		4.34	5.54	3.00	770.02		-	2.56	10:01	0.00	0.00	00.1	17.3/	4.89	0	140.	(2.3) 16.52	165.00	
		_	60 bu.		(2 bu) 13.82	(50)	۲.	(20) 2.20			•	5.54	1.00 56 33				4. L9	9.00	0.00	3.00	18 18	10 7	0/.6	80.20	02:00	00.07 (5.6)	106.86	
		(drilled) 200 30 l	so on.		(1 bu) 13.00		(20) 3.80	_	27.32		4.34	40.0	72.88			25 6	10 01	0.00	0.00	5.00	17.57	30 08		93,53	(2 3) 16 59		110.05	
	Corn Grain	750 750 120 hii			19.	22.	(40) 4 700	11.	20.01	. 01 7	OT:+	2 00 C	98.71			3.74	11.31	36.00	00.00	5.00	56.05	5.26		160.02	(2.5) 17.98		178.00	
:	Hay	100 3 tons	\$		o, '	(7) 1.26 (35) 6 65	ص د		4.34	1.41		i	45.03			8.47	nt. 11.61	0.00	9.00	3.00	1g 29.09	ng 3.78		s: 77.90	(6.3) 41,69		119.59	
Cross	do to	Acres <u>Yield Per Acre</u>	Variable Costs	Growing	Fert M /11.			Lime (tn)	Unemicals Power/Equipment	fuel, oil	repair, maint	Other	Total Growing	Harvesting	Power/Equipment	- fuel, oil	- repair, maint.	Drying	Twine	Ocner	Total Harvesting	Interest - operating	Total Selected	Variable Costs	Labor (hours) (	Total Variable	Costs	See notes on page 9

Field Crop Enterprise Budgets Comparison of Returns Over Variable Costs 1,200 Acre Crop Farm #1 - 1987 Projected Table 5.

	(No nar	narticipation in g	government pr	programs)		
	2		Courbeans	Winter	Substituted For	S
Crop	Hay	Corn Grain (conv. till)	(drilled)	Wheat 150	RK Beans 200	Oats 150
Acres Yield Per Acre	100 3 tons	750 120 bu. \$2.00	200 30 bu. \$4.50	ഥഥ	$\circ \circ$	80 bu. \$1.50
Price Per Unit	\$ .0.5	\$	\$	: \$}	ጭ	· · · · · ·
Returns per acre Crop Other	210.00 0.00 210.00	240.00 0.00 240.00	135.00 0.00 135.00	150.00 50.00 200.00	240.00 0.00 240.00	50.00 170.00
Total variable costs per acre	119.59	178.00	110.05	106.86	165.00	98.18
Net returns over variable costs: 9 - per acre - per unit produced	sosts: 90.41 30.14	62.00	24.95 0.83	93.14 1.55	75.00	71.82
Break-even price per unit of primary product to cover variable costs	39.86	1,48	3.67	0.95	0.14	0.60
SENSITIVITY TO CROP PRICE OR YI	OR YIELD CHANGES:	WGES:				
20% Lower Grop Price or Yield: Total returns per acre	<u>eld</u> : 168.00	192.00	108.00	160.00 <sup>a</sup>	192.00	136.00 <sup>a</sup>
Net returns over variable costs: 4 - per acre - per unit produced	costs: 48.41 16.14	14.00	(2.05)	53.14 0.89	27.00	37.82 0.47
20% Higher Crop Price or Yield: Total returns per acre	<u>ield</u> : 252.00	288.00	162.00	240.00 <sup>a</sup>	288.00	204.00ª
Net returns over variable costs  - per acre	costs: 132.41 44.14	110.00	51.95 1.73	133.14	123.00	105.82
ber mirc brocker		-				

ancludes straw at adjusted price and yield.

Field Crop Enterprise Budgets Variable Costs

Table 6.

1,200 Acre Crop Farm #1 - 1987 Projected (Government program participation and non-participation compared)

	(covern	(covernment program		Participation	ion and	non-narticination	1 1 2 1 5 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		÷		
Crop	Hay	Corn	Grain -	Contract		Tod Har	ricipari	- 1	compared)		
	,		+ 11111	COLIV.	1111	Soyb	eans		Winter	Wheat	
Acres planted/set-aside Yield Per Acre	100 3 tons	120 bu		Parti 600 96	Participate <sup>D</sup> 600/150 96 h.,	(dri	(drilled) 200	Non-part. 150	ırt.a O	Partic 108	Participate <sup>D</sup> 108/42
Variable Costs	S			3		30	30 bu.	09	þa.	43	pu.
Growing	•	-	<b>3</b> -		v>	-	\$		\$		\$
N (1b)	9.52	(24M K) (125)	19.80 22.50	(19K) (100)	15.84		13.00	(2 bu)	82	(1.4 bu)	
	6.65	(40)	7.60	(32)	6.08		3,80	50) (40)	9.00	(36)	6.48
Lime (tn) (0.5) Chemicals	11.88	(0,5)	$\frac{4.40}{11.88}$	(32)	3.52 9.50	(20)	2.20	(20)	2.20	(14)	1.58
Power/Equipment	4.34		20,01		16.01	<u> </u>	27.32	(0.0)	0.95	(0.4)	8.55
- fuel, oil	1.41		710								2
· repair, maint. Other	1.64		• •		3.33 4.84		4.34		•		3.12
Total Growing	44.95		2.00 98 71		2.64		, w		5.54 1.00		3.77
Harvesting					9.76		72.58		56.32		41.28
Power/Equipment	-										
- fuel, oil	8.47		3 7/,		(						
repair, maint.	11.80	•	7.7		3.07		2.57		4.19		7 97
Drying	0.00	4 (7)	36.00	•	8,56		9.41		9.00		6.01
iwine Other	6.00	•	0.00		00.00		0.00		00.0		0.00
Total Harvestins	00.00		5.00		4.00		•		2.00		1,44
	29.28	S	6.05	7	44.43	<del></del>	6.98	r-	3,00 18,18		$\sim$
increse - operating	3.79	1	5.26		/ 18			7	0		12.56
Total Selected			ł	!	1		3.05	I	5,70	•	4.05
Variable Costs	78.02	16	160.02	1.0	70 001	í	;				
<u>Labor</u> (hours) (6.3) 41.68	41.68	(2 5) 1		7			92.61	∞	80.20	4,	57.89
Total Var. Costs 1	119.70	178		T (T.7)	6/	(2.3)   10	16,55	(3.9) 2	26.66	(2.7) 1	18.95
See notes on page 9.		17	00.1	143	3.16	106	109.16	10	106.86	7	76.84
)											

See notes on page 9.

<sup>a</sup>From Table 4.

<sup>b</sup>Variable costs per acre under the "participate" alternative are reduced to reflect the proportion of acres actually planted, i.e., for corn, 600 acres planted is 80 percent of the total 750 acres in the enterprise, so costs are reduced to 80 percent of the "non-participate" costs.

134,70

76.84

106.86

109.16

143.16

178.00

119.70

211.54

200.00

135.00

279.12

240.00

210.00 0.00

3.13

93.14 1.55

25.84 0.86

135.96 1.42

62.00

90.30 30.10

Net returns over variable costs:

Total variable costs Total returns

Other

per acre

Break-even price per unit of primary product to cover variable costs

. per unit produced

- per acre

0.52

(0.63)

0.95

3.64

0.58

1.48

39.90

Comparison of Returns Over Variable Costs Field Crop Enterprise Budgets Table 7.

Participate 108/42 43 bu. \$2.50 104,04 107.50 Winter Wheat Non-part. 60 bu. \$2.50 50.00 150.00 150 (Government program participation and non-participation compared) S (drilled) Soybeans 30 bu. \$4.50 0.00 135,00 1,200 Acre Crop Farm #1 - 1987 Projected 200 ŝ Corn Grain - conv. till Non-Part. a Participate 87.12 600/150 192.00 96 bu. \$2.00 Ś 120 bu. \$2.00 0.00 240.00 750 Ś \$70.00 210.00 3 tons Hay 100 <del>ر</del>ۍ Acres planted/set-aside Returns per acre Yield Per Acre Price Per Unit Crop Crop

SENSITIVITY TO CROP PRICE OR YIEI	YIELD CHANGES:					1
ייר יייר יייר יייר יייר יייר יייר יייר					ί.,	q'/E'/VV
20% Lower Crop Price or 11614.	9	100 00	240.72	108.00	160.00°	t0.t07
Total returns per acre	168.00	100.	í			1
Net returns over variable costs:	06 07	14.00	104.76 <sup>c</sup>	(1.16)	53.14	127.50
- per acre	40.30	0 12	1.09	(0.04)	0.69	1
. per unit produced	10.10	1			,	ئي
20% Higher Grop Price or Yield:	1		317 52	162.00	240.00 <sup>b</sup>	240.24 <sup>D</sup>
Total returns per acre	252.00	288.00	1			
Net returns over variable costs:	°	110 00	167,16°	52.84	133.14	163.40
- per acre	132.30	0 92	1.74	1.76	77.7	0.0
- per unit produced	44.10					

See notes on page 9.

b\_ncludes straw at adjusted price and yield. cyield changes affect corn drying costs. aFrom Table 5.

Field Crop Enterprise Budgets
Variable Costs
1,200 Acre Crop Farm #2 - 1987 Projected
(No participation in government programs)

404			•	-	1170777	Programs)				
d 0 10		Hay		Corr	Corn Grain	5				
Acres Yield Per Acre		100 3 tons		(no-ti 750 110 bu	(no-till) 750 110 bu.	Soybe (dril 200 30 bu	Soybeans (drilled) 200 30 bu	Winte Wheat 150	Winter Wheat 150	
Variable Costs			<b>S</b>		ഗ			00		
Growing	3				•		γ-		ςγ-	
Seed FertN (1b)		6 (1)	. 52	(24M K)	19.80	(1 hu)	13.00	•	4	
		~ ~	1.26 6.65	(145)	26.10		1.80	(7 pn) (20)	13.82	
_			.27	<u> </u>	7.60 4.40	(20)	3.80	(40)	7.60	
Chemicals		T + +	.34	(0.75)	17.81	(0.5)	11.88	(0.5)	2.20	
<pre>tower/Equipment     fuel</pre>	nt				72.8/		27.32		0.95	
repair, maint	aint.	-	.58		1.21		4.68		89 7	
Ocher		2	2,00		2.94		5.02		5.02	
Total Growing	bΛ	45.09	. 60		4.00		3.00	-	1.00	
Harvesting					1,4.73		72.69		56.14	
Fower/Equipment	ıt									
ruci, oli - repair, maint	in tr	8.47	47		3.73		0 11			
Drying	• }	. T.	& C		11.31		10.04		4.22	
Twine			8.0		33.00		0.00		9.07	
Other		3	00		0.00		00.0		2.00	
Total Harvesting	ing	29.5	26		00.6		5.00		3.00	
Interest - operating	ting	64	5 7		53.04		17.62		18.29	
Total Selected	) 	4	<b>N</b>		5.71		3.07		5.69	
Variable Costs	sts	78.14	. 7	•						
Labor (hours)	(6.3)		. 6		1/3.48		93,38		80.12	
Total Variable Costs		119	Ιœ	(/:-)	185 48	(2.2)	16.03	(4.0)	26.21	
See notes on page 9	9					)†	109.41		106.33	
	•									

Field Crop Enterprise Budgets Comparison of Returns Over Variable Costs 1,200 Acre Crop Farm #2 - 1987 Projected (No participation in government programs)

Table 9.

aIncludes straw at adjusted price and yield.

Table 10. Field Crop Enterprise Budgets Comparison of Farm Total Costs and Returns 1987 Projected

<del></del>		rrojected		
			1200 Acre Cr	OD Form
Item	500 Acre Dairy Farm	#1 Conver Without Partici- pation	utional Corn With	#2 No-till Cor Without Partici- pation
Total Crop Returns <sup>a</sup>	\$	\$	\$	\$
	129,900	258,000	289,146	243,000
<u>Variable Costs</u>				
Growing: Seed Fertilizer, lime Chemicals, other Machinery - fuel, repair	7,626 15,813 7,084 ss 3,586	20,475 45,923 23,495 11,669	16,924 37,678 20,112 <u>9,387</u>	20,910 53,076 32,705
Total Growing Total Harvesting	34,109 13,015	101,561	84,101	$\frac{6,827}{113,518}$
Interest - operating Labor Total Variable Costs	1,922 14,991 64,037	51,187 5,794 <u>24,958</u> 183,500	41,971 4,746 <u>21,882</u> 152,700	48,974 6,126 <u>20,313</u> 188,930
Fixed Costs			,	100,930
Machine ownership Land Total Fixed Costs	33,201 15,000 48,201 12,239	54,118 36,000 90,118 273,618	54,154 36,000 90,154 242,854	48,006 <u>36,000</u> 84,006 272,936
et Returns Over:				
Variable Costs  Total Costs (Return to mgmt. & profit)	65,863 17,661	74,500 (15,618)	136,446 46,292	54,070 (29,936)

a Value at harvest time at the farm. Returns include straw.

Crop acres - Dairy Farm - Hay (100), HCS (150), Corn Silage (150),

HMEC (100).

Crop Farms - Hay (100, Corn grain (750), Soybeans (200), Wheat (150).

ASCS participating farm diverts 150 acres corn, 42 acres wheat

b Includes drying; excludes hauling, storage, marketing and management.

Table 11. Field Crop Enterprise Budgets

Comparison of Farm Total Costs and Returns
1,200 Acre Crop Farm #1 - 1987 Projected
(No participation in government programs)

Crop (acres)	Hay (100), Corr	Grain (750), W. W	heat (150)
Item plus -	Soybeans (200)	or RK Beans (200)	or Oats (200)
	\$	\$	\$
Total Crop Returns <sup>a</sup>	258,000	279,000	265,000
Variable Costs			
Growing: Seed Fertilizer, lime Chemicals, other Machinery - fuel, repairs Total Growing Total Harvesting Interest - operating Labor Total Variable Costs	20,475 45,923 23,495 11,669 101,561 51,187 5,794 24,958 183,500	27,955 48,565 24,002 12,069 112,591 51,192 6,168 25,062 195,014	20,075 47,743 18,020 11,707 97,544 51,259 5,664 26,342 180,809
Fixed Costs			
Machine ownership Land	54,118 <u>36,000</u>	54,118 <u>36,000</u>	52,035 <u>36,000</u>
Total Fixed Costs	90,118	90,118	88,035
Total Crop Costs <sup>b</sup>	273,618	285,131	268,844 
Net Returns Over:			
Variable Costs	74,500	83,986	84,191
Total Costs (Return to mgmt. & profit	(15,618)	(6,131)	(3,844)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Value at harvest time at the farm. Returns include straw.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Includes drying; excludes hauling, storage, marketing, and management.

### Grower Worksheets

The following worksheets are intended to assist the crop producer in making decisions with regard to his combination of enterprises for the coming year. The first worksheet closely follows the format of the budgets developed in Table 2 through Table 9. Completion of the Budget Worksheet for a crop enterprise will enable the grower to compare his estimate with the budgets in this publication.

Following the Budget Worksheet is a series of three worksheets designed to guide the user through a cash flow projection for a proposed crop mix for the whole farm. The format is somewhat different from that used in the budgets. Of necessity, the budgets present reasonable estimates of typical costs per acre for each crop suggested. They are based on stated input prices and levels and machinery complement assumptions. On the other hand, the worksheets enable a grower to enter specific figures based on his own experience and estimates. Thus, the results should be a more accurate estimate of an individual farmer's experience.

Worksheet 1 provides a place to list expected returns and variable costs per acre for each proposed crop. The purpose is to estimate the returns per acre over cash variable costs. One major problem may be in estimating fuel and repair costs for power (tractors and trucks) and equipment used to grow and harvest the crops. Table 12 summarizes these costs for crops used in the budgets. These fuel and repair costs would be reasonable to use in Worksheet 1 in the absence of better farm data.

Worksheet 2 provides a place to list the various cash fixed costs for the whole farm business for the previous year. Once summarized, last year's costs can be adjusted to reflect changes that can be anticipated for this year. For continued farm operation, these cash costs must be met regardless of crop mix decisions.

Finally, Worksheet 3 provides a place to summarize returns over cash variable costs for two different proposed crop mixes. It leads to an estimate of cash available or needed after allowing for variable costs for each crop mix, total farm cash fixed costs including the operator's living costs and scheduled debt service. Excess cash would be available for operating loan interest, capital purchases or savings, etc. Operating loan principal is covered by the cash variable costs included. A lack of excess cash would indicate a need for additional operating cash from increased borrowing, savings, off-farm income or other non-farm sources during the year.

Table 12. Budgeted Fuel and Repair Costs for Machinery
Needed to Grow and Harvest Selected Crops, 1987

	Cash variable costs per acre				
Crop	Fuel, lubrication				
	\$	\$			
Нау	10	13			
Hay crop silage	1.2	20			
Corn silage	12	17			
High moisture ear corn	11	16			
Corn grain - conv. till	8	18			
Corn grain - no-till	5	14			
Oats	9	15			
Wheat	9	15			
Soybeans	7	16			
Red Kidney Beans	7	17			

Croj	rear					
BUDGET WORKSHEET.	Field Crop ariable Costs and	Enterprise I Returns p				
Item	Quantity	Units	Per	Avorage Des A		
Returns	no.		Ş	Average Per Ac \$		
Crop				·		
Other	<del>.</del>					
Total Crop Returns	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
<u>Variable Costs</u>				(1)\$		
Growing						
Seed						
Fert.				<del></del> .		
		<del></del>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			-	* 1		
T.1.				<u> </u>		
Lime		<del></del>				
Chem.		<u> </u>				
			······			
		·				
		<del></del>				
Power/Equipment <sup>a</sup> - Fu	uel, oil	<del></del>				
Re	epair, maint.	•				
Other				· ·		
Total Growing costs						
Harvesting	•			\$		
Power/Equipment <sup>a</sup> - Fu	el, oil					
Repa	ir, maint.					
Drying						
Twine						
Other				·		
Total Harvesting Co	ote			<del></del>		
nterest - operating	3 (3					
· · ·	1.1					
Total Selected Variabor				\$		
ider		hours	<del></del> .			
		hours	<del></del>			
Total Labor Costs				<u>.</u>		
tal Variable Costs				(2)\$		
t Returns over Variable				(4)0		

 $<sup>\</sup>overline{^{\mathbf{a}}}$ See Table 12 in text for suggested costs if farm data is not available.

### WORKSHEETS

- Worksheet 1. Calculation of Returns Over Crop Cash Variable Costs
- Worksheet 2. Calculation of Annual Farm Cash Fixed Costs
- Worksheet 3. Results of Crop Mix Alternatives

Crop Mix. No.		(	Use c	rops tha	at are	
Crop						<del></del>
Yield per acre expected			· ·	<u> </u>		<del>-</del>
Price per unit expected when sold		<u> </u>			<u></u>	_
Crop returns per acre		\$ \$		- \$	<del></del> :	
Other returns per acre		Ψ		\$ <u></u>	<del></del>	_
Total returns per acre	(1)	\$	-	\$	·	· .
Cash Variable Costs <sup>a</sup>			- Pe	r acre		-
Labor - part-time seasonal		\$		S		
Seed			·	· ····	<del></del>	-
Fertilizer:				<del></del>		-
				*	<del></del>	
-						-
Lime						•
Chemicals: Herbicides			·		·—·	
Insecticides					<del></del>	
Fungicides				<u> </u>		
Seed Treatment					<del></del>	
Supplies - twine, preservative, etc						
Power & equipment to grow and harvest: b						
- Fuel and lube						
- Repair and maintenance		<del></del>	<del></del>			
Machine hire, rent				-	<u> </u>	
Hauling						
Marketing			····	. ——		
Storage			<del></del>		<del></del>	
Other cash costs					<del></del>	
Total Crop Cash Variable Costs	(2) \$		· .		-	
turna array a larray	(2) \$		<del></del>	\$ \$	1	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Costs experienced only if the crop is produced. <sup>b</sup>See Table 12 in text for suggested costs if farm data is not available.

Worksheet	1	(continued)
worksneet	1.	(COMPTIME W)

<u> </u>	\$	ş	\$	\$	\$
	\$ \$		\$	\$	\$
				<u> </u>	
	\$	\$	\$	<u> </u>	\$
<del>-</del>			Per acre	\$	\$
	\$	\$	\$	¥	
	<del></del>				
				<u></u>	
	_				
	<u> </u>		<u></u>		
			<u> </u>		
				<u></u>	\$
\$	\$		\$	Y	\$

Worksheet 2. CALCULATION OF ANN	WAL FARM CASH FIXED COSTS	$\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{a}}$
Total crop acres:	Toget W	
Owned	Last Year	This Year
Rented		
Total Operated		
Annual Cash Fixed Costs		
Operator family living		per farm
Regular hired labor -	\$	\$
Gross wages	• .	
Benefits & employer costs		
Taxes - real estate		
Rent - cropland, buildings		· · ·
Insurance - fire, liability		
Vehicle taxes & insurance		
Utilities - phone, electric, water, etc.		
Miscellaneous costs	<del></del>	· .

<sup>a</sup>Note: These annual farm cash fixed costs must be met for continued operation of the business this year. This year's costs are based on last year's costs adjusted to reflect anticipated changes in costs, price levels and, perhaps, crop mixes and acreages. Consideration of these factors is necessary to make reasonable estimates of the cash fixed costs for the farm business for "This Year".

Total Farm Cash Fixed Costs

Worksheet 3. RESULTS OF CROP MIX ALTERNATIVES

Year <u>19</u>

	Crop		s Over Cash able Costs	Crop		Over Cash le Costs
Grop	Mix 1 Acres	Per Acre	e Total	Mix 2 Acres	Per Acre	Total
(From Worksheet 1)	) (1)	(2)	(1)x(2)	(1)	(2)	(1)x(2)
	· 	\$	\$		\$	\$
			**	:		
					-	
-						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del></del>				<u> </u>
	<i></i>		· .			
		· .				
Total Farm Returr Cash Variable C			\$			\$
less Total Farm Fixed Cost Worksheet	s (From	year)				-
less scheduled excluding cro the current y	op loans <sup>8</sup>		<u>.                                    </u>	·		-
Cash - available		n loan				
interest, savings,	purchase		\$			\$
or - (needed) : debt or n			(\$	)		(\$

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{a}}\mathrm{Crop}$  or operating loan principal is covered by cash variable costs included on Worksheet 1.

Appendix Table 1.

### Crop Machinery Investment 500 Acre Dairy Farma 1987 Projected

Item		1987 List Price	Purchase Price <sup>b</sup>	Annual Ownership Cost <sup>C</sup>
		\$	\$	\$
Tractors - 120 hp 80 hp 60 hp Trucks - Pick up Large fa		39,200 28,250 24,700 11,200 16,000	29,939 21,576 18,864 8,554 12,220	4,533 3,267 2,856 1,858 2,168
Plow Disc Drag Seeder w/cultipac Corn planter Sprayer Cultivator	(5-18") (14') (16') ker (6R) (28') (6R)	10,450 7,450 2,400 3,200 14,450 4,000 3,150	7,981 5,690 1,833 2,444 11,036 3,055 2,406	1,287 917 295 394 1,779 492 388
Mower-conditioner Rake, side Baler w/kicker Bale wagons Forage harvester - Grass head - Corn head - Snapper head Dump wagon Grain wagons	(9') (2) (7.5') (3R)	14,500 3,050 12,450 3,300 16,300 3,800 7,600 7,050 8,200 4,300	12,557 2,329 9,509 2,520 14,115 3,291 6,581 6,105 7,101 3,284	2,549 376 1,533 382 2,865 668 1,336 1,239 1,523
Totals Per acre		245,000 490	192,990	33,202 66

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Dairy farm with 100 acres of hay, 150 acres of hay crop silage, 150 acres of corn silage, and 100 acres of high moisture ear corn. Complement does not include power or equipment needed for livestock.

- 6 years Forage harvester and heads, dump wagon and mower.
- 10 years All other equipment, tractors and trucks.

### Trade-in values:

- 40 percent Tractors and wagons.
- 30 percent Planter, cultipacker, mower, forage harvester and heads.
- 10 percent Trucks.
- 20 percent All other equipment.

Straightline depreciation, 10 percent interest on average investment; two percent of purchase price for insurance and storage except actual truck insurance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Purchase price is based on the 1987 list price times an index value to reflect an average price paid over the average ownership period for each machine.

 $<sup>^{</sup>m C}$ Ownership cost is based on these assumptions: Owned for:

Appendix Table 2.

### Crop Machinery Investment 1,200 Acre Crop Farm #1a 1987 Projected

The	1987	Purchase	Annual
	List Price	Price <sup>b</sup>	Ownership Cost <sup>c</sup>
Item	\$	\$	\$
Tractors - 120 hp	39,200	29,939	4,533
80 hp FWA	34,050	26,005	3,937
80 hp	28,250	21,576	3,267
60 hp	24,700	18,864	2,856
40 hp	15,850	12,105	1,833
Combine - Power unit Corn head (4R) Grain head (13') Bean head (4R) Trucks - Pick up Large farm (2 used)	71,250	62,945	14,244
	12,500	11,043	2,499
	6,200	5,477	1,076
	12,000	10,601	2,083
	11,200	8,554	1,858
	16,000	12,220	2,168
Plow (5-18") (2) Disc (14') (2) Drag (16') (2) Cultipacker (14') Drill-seeder Corn planter (8R) Sprayer (28') Cultivator (8R)	20,900	15,962	2,573
	14,900	11,380	1,834
	4,800	3,666	591
	2,750	2,100	328
	7,650	5,843	942
	19,150	14,626	2,286
	4,000	3,055	492
	4,950	3,781	591
Mower-conditioner (9') Rake, side (9') Baler w/kicker Bale wagons (3) Grain wagons (2)	9,800	7,485	1,170
	3,050	2,329	353
	12,450	9,509	1,533
	4,950	3,781	572
	4,300	3,284	497
Totals	384,850	306,130	54,116
Per acre	321	255	45

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>For a 1,200 acre cash crop farm with 100 acres of hay, 750 acres of corn grain, 200 acres of soybeans, and 150 acres of winter wheat.

### Owned for:

- 5 years Combine and heads.
- 10 years All other equipment, tractors and trucks.

#### Trade-in values:

- 50 percent Grain and bean heads.
- 40 percent Tractors, wagons and rake.
- 30 percent Combine and corn head, planter, cultipacker, cultivator, and mower.
- 20 percent All other equipment.

Straightline depreciation, 10 percent interest on average investment; two percent of purchase price for insurance and storage except actual truck insurance.

 $<sup>^{</sup>m b}$ Purchase price is based on the 1987 list price times an index value to reflect an average price paid over the average ownership period for each machine.

 $<sup>^{</sup>m C}$ Ownership cost is based on these assumptions:

Appendix Table 3.

### Crop Machinery Investment 1,200 Acre Crop Farm #2a 1987 Projected

Item	1987 List Price	Purchase Price <sup>b</sup>	Annual Ownership Cost <sup>o</sup>
	\$	\$	\$
Tractors - 120 hp	20 100		,
- 80 hp FWA	39,200	29,939	4,533
60 hp	34,050	26,005	3,937
40 hp	24,700	18,864	2,856
Combine - Power unit	15,850	12,105	1,833
Corn head (4R)	71,250	62,945	14,244
Grain head (13')	12,500	11,043	2,499
	6,200	5,477	1,076
Bean head (4R) Trucks – Pick up	12,000	10,601	2,083
	11,200	8,554	1,858
Large farm (2 used)	16,000	12,220	
Plow (5-18")	. 10 /50	-	2,168
Disc (14')	10,450	7,981	1,287
rag (16')	7,450	5,690	917
Cultipacker (14')	2,400	1,833	295
rill-seeder	2,750	2,100	328
Forn planter (8R no-till)	7,650	5,843	942
prayer (28')	21,200	16,191	2,531
lower-conditioner (9')	4,000	3,055	492
ake, side (9')	9,800	7,485	1,170
aler w/kicker	3,050	2,329	353
ale wagons (3)	12,450	9,509	1,533
	4,900	3,781	572
· · /	<u>4.300</u>	<u>3,284</u>	<u>497</u>
Totals	333,400	266,834	
Per acre	278		48,004
	2/0	222	40

 $<sup>^{</sup>m a}$ For a 1,200 acre cash crop farm with 100 acres of hay, 750 acres of <u>no-till</u> corn grain, 200 acres of soybeans, and 150 acres of winter wheat.

- 5 years Combine and heads.
- 10 years All other equipment, tractors and trucks.

### Trade-in values:

- 50 percent Grain and bean heads.
- 40 percent Tractors, wagons and rake.
- 30 percent Combine and corn head, planter, cultipacker and mower.
- 20 percent All other equipment.

Straightline depreciation, 10 percent interest on average investment; two percent of purchase price for insurance and storage except actual truck

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Purchase price is based on the 1987 list price times an index value to reflect an average price paid over the average ownership period for each machine.

 $<sup>^{</sup>m C}$ Ownership cost is based on purchase price and these assumptions:

Appendix Table 4.

### Machinery Operating Factors Field Crop Enterprise Budgets 1987

Machine		Width	Speed	Field Efficiency	Tractor Size
		feet	mph	8	hp
Plow	(5-18")	7.5	4.0	80	120, 80 FWA
Disc	(14')	14	4.5	80	120, 80 FWA
Drag	(16')	16	5.5	80	80 FWA, 80
Cultipacker	(14')	14	6.0	80	40
Drill-seeder		12.2	5.0	75	60
Corn planter	(6R)	15	5.0	65	60
Corn planter	(8R)	20	4.5	70	80
Corn planter	(8R-NT)	20	5.0	70	80 FWA
Sprayer	(28')	28	4.0	65	60
Cultivator	(6R)	15	4.5	80	80
Cultivator	(8R)	20	4.5	80	80
Mower-conditioner	(9')	9	5.0	70	60
Mower-conditioner	(12')	12	4.5	70	80
Rake, side		12	4.5	80	60, 40
Baler w/kicker		12	2.5	70	80