# USING THE TI-59 PROGRAMMABLE CALCULATOR TO ESTIMATE OPERATING COSTS AND HAULING RATES FOR BULK MILK ASSEMBLY

William Lesser

Walter Wasserman

Department of Agricultural Economics
Cornell University Agricultural Experiment Station
New York State College of Agriculture and Life Sciences
A Statutory College of the State University
Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853

It is the policy of Cornell University actively to support equality of educational and employment opportunity. No person shall be denied admission to any educational program or activity or be denied employment on the basis of any legally prohibited discrimination involving, but not limited to, such factors as race, color, creed, religion, national or ethnic origin, sex, age or handicap. The University is committed to the maintenance of affirmative action programs which will assure the continuation of such equality of opportunity.

# PREFACE TO THE SECOND REVISED EDITION

This bulletin is a revision of an earlier one of the same name dated

June 1980 (A.E. Res. 80-12-yellow cover). This edition contains two changes

over its predecessor--a removal of income tax considerations from the pro
gram and an updating of the cost figures in the example. In all other

respects, the two bulletins are identical, and this one should be used in

place of the earlier version.

# Reasons for the Revision

The Economic Recovery Tax Act of 1981 incorporated several fundamental changes in federal tax codes, including the allowances for depreciation and investment credits. These two factors are important for bulk milk assembly because they affect the after-tax returns from the enterprise. In the original or 1980 program, the "savings" due to investment credits and depreciation allowances were credited against the hauling costs. That is, the calculated route costs were reduced by the amount that taxes were reduced. Thus, that program provided a partial after-tax cost estimate.

The 1981 Tax Act changed substantially the allowable amounts of depreciation and investment credits. The effect of this change is to make the tax savings more sensitive to the incomes (and hence effective tax rates) of individual users. The TI-59 program in its present form is not designed to provide the appropriate analysis for calculating individual benefits. Instead, a decision was made to omit tax considerations altogether so that this revised program provides a before-tax estimate of costs.

### Effects of the Change

Changing from partial after-tax cost estimates to pre-tax estimates increases the calculated hauling costs. This is because the hauler will realize additional cash flow after taxes which, in the revised program, is not credited against expenses. The increased cash flow is the result of investment tax credits and depreciation allowances applied against income tax liability. These sums must be reinvested back into the business if the hauler is to have sufficient reserves to replace the truck and tank when needed.

The dollar amounts of the change in the program can be seen using the input data from the 1980 example. The original estimate of fixed costs was \$33,885 96. With the present revision, using the same cost data, the estimated value is \$36,848.10. Thus the annual fixed hauling cost estimate rose by nearly \$3,000.00 due to the program change. Variable costs are unaffected.

It is also interesting to see what effect the 1981 Tax Act would have. This Act reduced the depreciation period for rolling stock to three years. Thus the tax savings are greater. In dollars, using the same 1980 figures applied above, the new tax codes provide approximately \$2,000 additional dollars annually in tax savings at the assumed tax rates. Haulers with higher and lower tax rates would find their after-tax savings had to be adjusted accordingly.

## INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE

The New York State dairy industry is vitally dependent on an efficient and competitive transportation system for assembling and transporting milk from farms to processing plants. Much of the state's milk assembly operation is carried out by independent contract haulers who operate their own truck(s). The independent owner-operator has often proved the best as well as the lowest-cost option for accomplishing the hauling function. The use of a relatively large number of independent haulers over a wide range of route conditions does, however, create significant coordination needs for the participants. This publication is directed to assisting with one aspect of the coordination requirements; the determination of equitable hauling rates.

The rate paid to haulers for bulk milk assembly is, ideally, negotiated on a route-by-route basis. Typically in practice the request for a rate change is initiated by a hauler and justified on the basis of an increase in labor, fuel or other costs. But since many cost increases affect all haulers, what began as a request for a rate change by an individual hauler often becomes a concurrent request by all haulers. If the handler agrees to a rate increase while lacking specific information about the effect of the cost increase on individual routes, the increase is often applied as a flat, across-the-board adjustment. Such uniform rate changes in assembly systems with highly divergent route conditions tend to favor some haulers over others. As a result some assembly routes can be substantially more profitable than others, while the system as a whole is neither efficient nor equitable for either the dairymen or the haulers. A detailed knowledge of assembly costs is essential for operating an efficient system.

At the same time, rapidly rising transportation costs have placed the small contract hauler at a competitive disadvantage to larger operators as the management function becomes more critical. The small operator, who drives and maintains the truck, has less time available for analyzing the business. A quick means of

estimating changing route costs should prove an essential management tool during these inflationary times.

The purpose of this publication is to present a ready means of estimating the effect of a change in the cost of one factor, like fuel, on overall operating costs. The resultant estimates are useful to both handlers and haulers. Handlers may use the estimates in planning for anticipated future cost changes. Haulers must keep track of costs to be sure that rates are sufficient to accumulate capital for timely replacement of the tank truck fleet. Together the estimates provide a common basis from which rate negotiations can be started.

The estimates are developed using economic engineering techniques by combining individual item costs, from fuel and tires to purchase price and maintenance, into uniform operating costs per unit of product, time and distance. These estimates may be broken out into fixed and variable cost components. To make the calculations speedy and accurate a TI-59 programmable calculator is used\*(1). With its assistance the effect of a fuel price increase on total per mile costs, to mention one example, can be determined within seconds.

The program is kept relatively short by omitting much of the detail and special considerations which are a part of day-to-day operations. Thus the results should be viewed as approximations only. The estimates include operating costs only; other factors such as returns to management and risk are not included. The appropriate payments for management and risk vary widely from firm to firm so that no rule-of-thumb figure can be established. Allowances for these factors and other items specific to particular routes must be established during negotiations.

In past years it was common for rates to reflect the haulers out-of-pocket costs plus a premium for management and risk. This meant among other things that

<sup>\*/</sup>The bracketed numbers refer to additional information on this point included with the footnotes on page 17 following the text.

haulers were compensated based on what they actually paid for their truck. During periods of relatively stable prices this approach proved adequate but problems began to appear when replacement truck prices started moving up sharply. The hauler who had to replace his truck with a much more expensive new one found that he had no accumulated reserves to use to allow purchase at the higher price and had to drop out of the industry. One solution to this situation would be to raise the rate whenever a new truck is purchased. This would, however, not be equitable and would provide a strong incentive for all haulers to buy new equipment! A second and preferable procedure is to provide on going payments with which the hauler may build some equity for the time when a replacement must be purchased. A reasonable improvement in equity should be assured if the rates are periodically adjusted to reflect the "current replacement" of a similar vehicle. This "replacement cost" approach is used in this bulletin. It will generally give a good approximation to the long term costs faced by a hauler but may not be appropriate in some cases, such as a route which would not be economical once the present truck wears out (2).

Data requirements for the program are substantial. In some cases a considerable initial effort will be required to establish a system for collecting and updating the necessary information. If it is done properly this data collection procedure should lead to better record keeping and an improved understanding of the hauling system and the major factors influencing costs. If not, the estimates provided by the program will be inaccurate and misleading.

This report is organized as follows. The first section involves the estimation of hauling costs and includes a description of the basic program, an example application, suggested procedures for collecting data, and an overview of ways of adapting the model for a range of different conditions. The second section describes the use of the program to calculate stop charges and allocation of costs based on time, distance and volume. The program itself is included in the Appendix.

#### SECTION I

# Estimating Hauling Costs

Steps for operating the TI-59 program are detailed in Figure 1. This figure also includes an example which may be run to check to see that the program has been typed in correctly. The data for the example have been developed from information supplied by a group of handlers and haulers in upstate New York, as well as from a study of milk hauling in New York State by Professor Bruce Anderson (3). They represent with reasonable accuracy the aggregate cost conditions for that area for mid-1982. These data do not, however, necessarily represent actual costs for any one specific route and are not intended to be used in any application of the program to an actual situation.

# Definitions and Guidelines for Data Collection

The results of the program are, of course, only as good as the data which are entered by the user. A strict adherence to the definitions and collection guidelines listed below will help to assure that the program results are valid and compatible for cross-firm comparisons.

01. <u>Average Daily Route Miles</u> - Use the total average daily miles traveled from garage to garage. In the case where more miles are traveled one day than another, total the two days and divide by two.

Ex. Day 1 - 110 miles
Day 2 - 170 miles

Total 280/2 = 140 Avg. miles per day

- 02. Average CWT Delivered Per Day Use average daily deliveries over the entire year taking into consideration all routes and the flush period, if any.
- O3. Truck Costs Truck are available with an extremely large selection of optional equipment from engines, axles and transmissions, down to radios, air conditioners and seats. To standardize cost estimates the specifications of a serviceable truck must be agreed upon by haulers and handlers. Examples of such specifications are listed in Figure 2. With these specifications, prices can be collected from cooperating new truck dealers. In most cases fleet prices will be assumed to apply.

Truck investment costs are frequently lumpy with a large initial investment (down payment), a stream of interest and repayment costs and finally a return in the form of the salvage value (trade-in or scrap value). In order to make a nonuniform series of costs and returns comparable they are converted to an equivalent uniform annual series of payments (4).

Figure 1: Operating Instructions for Part I of the TI-59 Program Entering the Program from Magnetic Cards:

Turn calculator off. Turn calculator on. Press Key 1. insert side 1 Press Key 2. insert side 2

		DATA INPUT				
Item*	Example Value	Press Key	Example Display	Your Value	Press Key	Your Display
Fixed Costs		-				
Average Daily Route Miles	139	STO 01	139		STO 01	
Average CWT Delivered Per						
Day	477	STO 02	477		STO 02	
Truck Chassis Cost (\$)	55000	STO 03	<b>5</b> 5000		STO <b>0</b> 3	
Chassis Expected Life						
(years)	6	STO 04	6		STO 04	
Chassis Salvage Value (\$)	11000	STO 05	11000		STO 05	
Tank Cost (\$)	20000	STO 06	20000		STO 06	
Tank Expected Life (years)	10	ST0 07	10		STO 07	
Tank Salvage Value (\$)	4000	ST0 08	4000		STO 08	
Insurance	1400	STO 09	1400		STO 09	
Registration Fees	280	ST0 10	280		STO 10	
Highway Tax	120	STO 11	120		STO 11	
Interest Rate	.16	ST0 12	.16		STO 12	
Miscellaneous Costs	1300	STO 13	1300		STO 13 STO 14	
Driver Hourly Wage (\$/hr.)	7.50	ST0 14	7.50		STO 15	
Hours/Day for Driver(s)	9	STO 15	9	<del>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </del>	210 12	
Variable Costs						
Miles Per Gallon	. 5	STO 20	5		STO 20	
Fuel Cost (\$/gallon)	1.20	STO 21	1.20		STO 21	
Cost New Tire	225	ST0 22	225		STO 22	
Cost Recapped Tire	100	STO 23	100		STO 23	
Number of Tires	10.	ST0 24	10	+	STO 24	
Bias or Radial Ply Tires -						
Enter 1 if Radial,		CTO OF	•	•	STO 25	
O if Bias	0	STO 25	.0		STO 25	
Ton-Mile Tax Rate	.017	STO 26	.017		STO 27	
Annual Maintenance	1000	STO 27	1000		310 27	
Average Annual Repair in	10	CTO OO	.12		ST0 28	
\$/Mile	.12	STO 28	. 12		STO 29	
Miscellaneous Variable Costs	0	STO 29	U		310 62	

Press	.:	OUTPUT Value Output	Example Display	Your Display
Key				- 171 . 9
Α .	*	Total Annual Fixed Costs (TFC)	45389.00	
R/S		TFC/Mile	.895	
R/S		TFC/CWT	.261	
R/S		TFC/Minute	.230	
В		Total Annual Variable Costs (TVC)	22875.24	
R/S	**	Total Annual Costs (TC)**	68264.31	
R/S		TC/CWT	. 392	
R/S		TC/Mile	1.346	
R/S		TVC/CWT	.131	
R/S		TVC/Mile	.451	

 $<sup>\</sup>star$ Definitions of these terms and suggested sources of information are included in the following section.

<sup>\*\*</sup>TC = TFC + TVC.

Figure 2: Truck Specificaitons: Single Chassis

Specifications for a Single Chassis Truck Suitable for a 4,000 Gallon, Farm Pickup Truck

Cab:

151" conventional

Wheel base:

Approx. 218"

Engine:

Detroit diesel - 671 N Low oil pressure warning

Spin-on oil filter Spin-on water filter Plastic fan blade

Jake brake

Engine heater

Vertical exhaust Luberfiner - 750 Farr air cleaner

Delco Remy H.D. alternator

Ammeter

Clutch:

14" double disc

Transmission:

Fuller R.T.O. 915

Rear Axle:

Timken 38,000# 4:44 ratio

Suspension:

Hendrickson spring & saddle mount. Extended leaf, 50"

aluminum beam

Front Axle:

Rockwell FL 901 - 18,000 lb., Shepard power steering

Brakes:

S-Cam. Rear - 16 1/2" x 7 8" dia.

Front - 16 1/2" x 5" Hand valve for all wheels Front wheel limiting valve

Alcohol kit

Tires:

Front - General high miler - 11:00 x 20

Rear - General D.C.L.

10:00 x 20

Other Options:

Double frame or frame reinforcement

Dual 50 gal. step tanks

Stemco hubs

Tow hooks, front and rear Bostrom Viking driver seat

Passenger seat

Air horn

Electric wiper motor Radiator shutters West Coast mirrors

Source:

Dennis R. Lifferth and Walter C. Wasserman, Milk Transportation and Processing: Analysis of Alternative Milk Marketing Systems

USDA Farmer Cooperative Service, mimeograph, undated, p. 139.

- 04. <u>Truck Life</u> The expected number of miles of service would be available from service managers. Dividing this figure by the annual route miles will give the expected life in years.
- 05. Truck Salvage Value This figure will perhaps be one of the more difficult to estimate accurately. Dealers can give a good indication of what a particular five year old truck is worth today. This, however, will not necessarily indicate future salvage values since new truck prices have been rising rapidly in recent years, carrying used and junk truck prices up with them. The problem of estimating future salvage values is therefore one of projecting the rate of inflation for this equipment. Individual judgment must be used. A 20% of replacement cost rule-of-thumb is being used by some individuals in the industry.
- 06 & 07. Tank Cost and Tank Life Available from the suppliers. Annual costs are calculated in the same manner as truck costs (see above).
- 08. <u>Tank Salvage Value</u> Use current scrap metal values which have remained relatively constant over time, or if tanks are rehabilitated, use expected net value.
- 09. <u>Insurance</u> Annual rates for liability and cargo, available from insurance agents and brokers. A standardized policy should be used. Such a policy might include \$300,000 \$500,000 liability, collision for the value of the truck with \$200 \$500 deductible and cargo coverage in case of upset. Some states, like New York, mandate other coverage.
- 10. Registration Fees Annual fees available from the New York State Department of Motor Vehicles or comparable department in other states.
- 11. <u>Highway Tax</u> Listed in New York State Department of Taxation and Finance <u>Highway Tax Law</u> Bulletin, October 1, 1974, or similar bulletins from other states, and in Federal tax codes. The tax should be calculated on an annual basis.
- 12. <u>Interest Rate</u> The interest rate reflects the value and cost of capital tied up in the truck and tank over their service lives (5). Due to continued interest rate fluctuation, no standardized procedure is recommended for determining the appropriate rate. Your local lending institution can assist you in determining the appropriate current rate.
- 13. Miscellaneous Fixed Costs These costs should include annual garaging, bookkeeping, heat, office, and other expenses which are necessary to operate the milk hauling business. These items are likely to differ from operator to operator so that the actual costs should be used if available. Other fixed costs which do not fit into the above categories may also be included here. A lease contract on trucks or tanks may be included here on an annual cost basis. See the following section for several examples.
- 14. Drivers' Wages The average hourly wage rate including fringe benefits should be used. If a significant number of drivers are unionized the rate stipulated in the contract may be used. Wages should include the value of all fringe benefits and payroll taxes. If the drivers are not unionized or a significant number are independent owner-operators, then the prevailing local rate (including fringes) must be used. In a situation where there is

more than one driver during the day and the hourly rates are different, use a weighted average hourly rate:

Ex. Driver 1 - 
$$$7.40/hr. \times 8 \text{ hrs.} = $60$$
  
Driver 2 -  $$10.00/hr. \times 7 \text{ hrs.} = $70$   
 $$130 \div 15 \text{ hrs.} = $8.67/hr.$ 

Relief driver wages should be included in calculating the average rate.

- 15. <u>Hours/Day for Driver</u> An eight-hour day may be assumed unless information from the hauler or contract specifications indicate that a different length of day should be used.
- 20. <u>Fuel Consumption in MPG</u> Available from operators or as estimates from truck dealers.
- 21. Fuel Cost (\$/Gallon) Use average local pump prices.
- 22 & 23. <u>Cost of New Tire and Cost of Recapped Tire</u> Use fleet prices collected from local dealer (6).
- 24. Number of Tires Part of the specifications under (03) above.
- 25. Type of Tire (Bias Ply or Radial) Indicate most common type of tire used by pressing 0 for bias ply or 1 for radials (7).
- 26. Ton-Mile Tax Rate Rates are listed in the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance Truck Mileage Tax and Fuel. Use Tax bulletin, Regulations 21, April 1, 1970, or similar publications for other states. If fuel is bought outside the state of operation a fuel use tax must also be paid (not included in program).
- 27. Annual Periodic Maintenance This figure includes oil, chassis lubrication, filters, plugs and points (if necessary). This cost is available from local service stations and can be calculated on an annual basis according to expected mileage and service intervals recommended by the manufacturer.
- 28. Average Annual Repair Cost Per Mile Repair costs are among the most difficult costs to determine. The rate would be best determined for several trucks over more than one year. Repair costs should include parts and labor on an annual basis divided by annual miles driven. If field data are not available applicable cost information may be collected from fleet service managers with similar type hauls.
- 29. <u>Miscellaneous Variable Cost</u> To be used for items related to operating the truck but included elsewhere. Examples included mileage based rental rates for the truck or tank.

After punching in all these values, you can easily check to see that the correct numbers were entered by punching in the following sequence of steps:

01

INV

2nd LST

This will cause the calculator to print out a list of values stored in each register beginning with the first. These numbers can be checked with the values you intended to enter. In case of an error, simply press the correct figure and STO --. To stop the list once it is running simply press R/S.

## ADAPTING THE MODEL FOR DIFFERENT CONDITIONS

The basic program applies to the simplest possible bulk hauling situation; the hauler follows one or more route per day using self-owned equipment. This section contains explanations for adapting the basic program for different conditions such as leased rather than owned equipment. The changes include straightforward modifications in the input data and increase in complexity up to permanent changes in the program itself. The following examples indicate how several kinds of changes may be made. They should be used as guidelines for other modifications of the program to meet specific user requirements.

# Changing Constants Incorporated in the Program: Tire Life

To keep the user-supplied data inputs down to a reasonable number, several values are stored internally in the program as "constants." An example is tire life. The stored values, however, may not be appropriate for all routes and would need to be changed; routes with many hills and curves, for example, may give tire life below the assumed averages. These changes may be made by following the example below.

# Example: Tire Life

On a rough, curvey route a hauler finds that radial tires last on average only 90,000 miles rather than the 100,000 miles assumed. To make the adjustment the proper program location must first be found and the following changes made:

Press	Display	<u>Object</u>
GTO 229	75° 404 ===	Locate part of program requiring change
LRN	229 04	Put calculator in mode to make changes
3	230 00	Insert new number which reduces life by 10,000 miles. (The calculator automatically shows you the next step, not the one you have just completed. To see it push BST - you should get 229 03).
LRN		Return to operating mode

The way this change works is to reduce 40,000 to 30,000; pushing 3 replaces the 4. The 30,000 is the difference in mileage of a radial over the 60,000 miles assumed for a bias ply. The new total is thus 90,000 rather than 100,000 miles.

If this change is to be permanent it may be stored on a magnetic card by following these steps:

Press 1 2nd WRT - insert card, turn over Press 2 2nd WRT - insert card

If the display number does not flash the program has been transferred properly.

# Incorporating Different Operating Characteristics: Leased Equipment

If equipment is leased rather than owned the lease rate may be included in register 13 or 29, or if the lease includes both fixed and variable aspects both may be used. (If all equipment is leased with a service contract an interest rate register 12 - still must be entered for the program to operate.) A fixed rental rate should be entered in register 3. If the rate is established on a monthly basis it must be adjusted to reflect the actual annual fee (8). Rates which vary according to distance or other factors should be included as a variable cost in register 29. Costs which are variable by the mile must be multiplied by total annual miles or, if the tank payment is based on the volume of milk delivered, by the cwt. delivered. Instructions for inserting into the program new instructions for calculating variable costs per mile and similar changes are described following the example.

# Example

A hauler signs a seven-year lease for a bulk tank which includes a \$1,500 nonrefundable payment plus one-half cent per hundredweight delivered.

There are two steps needed to incorporating these new conditions. First, the initial payment is a partial payment for the tank and should be treated as any other cost by inserting the payment, \$1,500, into register 06 with the life

established at 7 years placed in register 07. Second, the cwt rental rate must be multiplied by hundred pounds delivered to determine the rental fee. Average daily pounds delivered is stored in register 02 which must be multiplied by 365 to calculate the annual total. These steps can be accomplished as follows:

Press	<u>Display</u>	Function Performed
GTO 261	NO 400 VIII	Locate appropriate place in the program
LRN	261 95	Put in learn mode
2nd INS	261 00	Clear space (this instruction moves all the following steps ahead by one place)
X	262 95	Multiply rate times capacity (the calculator automatically advances you by one step so that you do not see what was justinserted)
2nd INS	262 00	Clear space
RCL.	263 95	Recall average daily cwt delivered
2nd INS	263 00	Clear space
02	264: 95	Completes RCL command
2nd IN:	S 264 00	Clear space
х	. 265 95	Multiply by 365 to give annual value
2nd IN	S 265 00	Clear space
3	266 95	Begin inserting 365
2nd IN	S 266 00	Clear space
6	267 95	
2nd IN	S 267 00	Clear space
5	268 95	·
LRN	. was out APA	Exit learn mode

When using this modification it is important that register 29 is not used for anything but the rental rate. If it is the entire value stored in this register will be treated as the rental rate, leading to a substantial overestimate of the cost.

If this change is to be permanent it may be stored on a magnetic card by following these steps:

Press 1 2nd WRT - insert card, turn over

Press 2 2nd WRT - insert card

If the display number is not flashing, the program was transferred properly.

#### SECTION II

Determining Hauling Rates from the Program Estimates

Hauling costs may be divided into three categories - on-farm, travel, and volume - based on where they are incurred. These categories take account of variable costs of travel (fuel, tires and maintenance) and the fixed costs of the tank, truck, insurance and the scheduled driver. Fixed costs including labor are substantial, comprising approximately two-thirds of total assembly route costs, and must be allocated across a full day's activities on a cost per minute basis.

On-farm costs are fixed costs which include the time required to perform routine chores at each stop. These chores include hooking up, agitating the milk, sampling, and rinsing the farm tank. The driver's personal time for lunch and rest breaks is also included with the on-farm costs.

Travel or mileage costs include both fixed and variable cost segments. The variable costs are related to the miles traveled while the fixed costs include the proportionate share of the overhead costs for the time the truck is traveling. The final category, volume costs, involves pumping time at the farm and plant.

These three components of the hauler rate are summarized in Figure 3 below. For completeness, this figure also indicates how the producer rate is related to hauler payments. Producers are assessed in a slightly different fashion than the haulers are paid so that there is not a direct pass-through of each cost item, as shown in the Figure.

Figure 3: Cost Components Included in the Hauler Rate and Producer Payment

Hauler Rate	Route Costs	Producer Rate
Stop Payment	On-farm labor, excluding pumping time, plus share of fixed cost, plus waiting and personal time	Stop Charge
Mileage Payment	Transport (garage to first farm, last farm to plant and plant to garage) cost	Location Differ- ential
	Assembly (first to last farm) costs plus share of fixed cost and labor	
Volume Payment	Plant pumping and wash time plus farm pumping time	Volume Charge

Steps for making these calculations using the TI-59 program are outlined in Figure 4.

This portion of the program uses estimates from the program described above and consequently cannot be run unless steps A and B have been run previously.

The steps for this program are included in the Appendix beginning at 322.

Figure 4: Operating Instructions for Part II of the TI-59 Program
After Running Part I:

		DATA INPU	T		
Item	Example Press Value Key		Your Value	Press Key	Your Display
Number of Farm Stops	14 STO 30	14		STO 30	
	0U1	ГРИТ			
Press Key	Value Output		ample splay	You Disp	
C R/S R/S	Stop Payment Mileage Payment/Mi Volume Payment/cwt	le	.54 .727 .076		

The procedure followed in the program can best be explained by manually running through the calculating it makes internally.

<u>Item</u>	Includes	Amount	
Stop Payment	On-farm labor time (excluding pumping time) plus share of waiting and personal time (9)	$ \begin{array}{r} 10 \\ \times 14 \\ \hline 140 \\ + 75 \\ \hline 215 \\ \times .2302 \\ \hline 49.49 \\ \div 14 \\ \$ 3.54 \end{array} $	mns. per farm labor time no. farm stops  waiting and personal time total stop time FC/Mn (from Figure 1) total stop cost stops stop payment
Mileage Payment	Assembly time plus mileage costs	540 - 215 - 85 - 43 - 30 167 x .2302 \$ 38.44	total minutes in day stop time farm pump time (10) plant pump time (11) wash time (12) total route time FC/Mn (from Figure 1) time cost

Item	Includes	Amount	·
		139 <u>x .45</u> 62.55	miles VC/mile (from Figure 1) mileage cost
		$ \begin{array}{r} 62.55 \\ +38.44 \\ \hline 100.99 \\                                   $	mileage cost time cost total cost miles mileage payment/mile (13)
Volume Payment	Plant pumping and washing time plus on-farm pumping time	$   \begin{array}{r}     85 \\     + 43 \\     + 30 \\ \hline     158 \\     \underline{x.230} \\     36.34 \\     \div 477 \\ \hline     .076   \end{array} $	farm pump time (10) plant pump time (11) wash time (12) total volume time FC/Min.(from Figure 1) total volume cost cwt delivered volume payment

### CONCLUSIONS

The calculated hauling cost of 39 cents per hundredweight must be used only as a base or guideline rate. There are numerous other factors that should be considered in arriving at an actual rate. The actual rate must include a return for hauler management and risk not included in the cost estimates as well as including differentials for specific route characteristics such as road conditions and grade. Thus each route or group of routes served by a hauler must be analyzed separately with the final rate determined through individual negotiations.

The procedure described above if properly implemented is a means of achieving a closer correlation between hauling rates and actual route costs. It should provide an incentive for both producers and haulers to improve efficiency in the milk assembly system and serve the long-run interests of all participants.

### **FOOTNOTES**

- $\frac{1}{2}$  The program is readily transferable to another programming language such as that used on the HP-97 calculator. Some knowledge of programming is needed.
- 2/More precisely the appropriate rate should fall somewhere between the replacement cost and the "actual" cost based on current equipment costs. The replacement cost figure is utilized here because there is often a substantial lag in incorporating replacement cost figures in the hauling rate. This lag is a result of the time necessary to assemble the cost figures and negotiate rates, which then remain in effect for a year. At the end of this period during a time when equipment costs are rising rapidly the rate is actually below the true replacement cost rate and is assumed to approximate the minimum rate needed to keep haulers in the industry.
- 3/Anderson, B., "The Structure and Characteristics of the Milk Assembly System in New York State." Cornell University, Department of Agricultural Economics, A.E. Res. 81-16, September 1981.
- $\frac{4}{7}$  The Annual Equivalent Cost (AEC) formula used in the program is:

AEC = EC 
$$\frac{i(1+i)^n}{(1+i)^{n-1}}$$
 - SV  $\frac{i}{(1+i)^{n-1}}$ 

EC - equipment cost

SV - salvage value at end of n<sup>th</sup> year

i - interest rate

Source: Gerald W. Smith, <u>Engineering Economy: Analysis of Capital Expenditures</u>. Iowa State University press, Ames, 1968, p. 99.

For further information see Smith or another book on engineering economy.

- 5/With the annual equivalent cost figure the annuity represents partially debt servicing and partially an increase in equity. Thus over the life of the good the interest rate must represent, in different proportions, both the cost of borrowed capital and the value of equity to the owner. Typically for small operators equity has a higher opportunity cost than borrowed capital because the risk is greater, and it should receive a higher return.
- 6/Tire costs are calculated assuming that an average carcass is retreadable once and that a bias ply carcass has a total service life of 60,000 miles and a radial a life of 100,000 miles. These are averages for all the tires on a truck since the actual mileage depends on which axle it is placed on as well as road conditions, etc.

- $\frac{7}{0}$  Operationally, this entry provides a "switch" which changes the base of the cost from 60,000 miles for bias ply tires to 100,000 miles for radials.
- 8/Some leases require a substantial payment at the beginning of the contract. If this payment is nonrefundable it should be entered in register 3 or 7 as equipment cost. Even if the payment is refunded at the expiration of the lease the compounded interest figure over the period can be substantial. This amount too, should be entered as a cost.
- $\frac{9}{1}$  The figures for this example are based on a 1978 study of hauling routes in the New York State order area and on the Anderson study.
- $\frac{10}{\text{On}}$  on the farm milk is assumed to be pumped at the rate of 560 pounds/minute.
- $\frac{11}{4}$ At the plant milk is assumed to be pumped at the rate of 1,100 pounds/minute.
- $\frac{12}{A}$  A truck is assumed to be washed only once a day.
- $\frac{13}{F}$  Figures may not match exactly these in Figure 4 because of rounding error.

TI-59 Bulk Milk Hauling Cost Worksheet

TRUCK NO.

DATE

DESCRIPTION	- April	Average CWT Delivered Per Day	Truck Chassis Cost (\$)	Chassis Expected Life (years)	Chassis Salvage Value (\$)	Tank Cost (\$)	Tank Expected Life (years)	Tank Salvage Value (\$)	Insurance Payments (liability & cargo)	Registration Fees	Highway Tax (federal)	Interest Rate	Miscellaneous Costs (garage,	bookkeeping, etc.)	Driver Hourly Wage (\$/hr.)	Hours/Day for Driver (s)	Miles Per Gallon	Fuel Cost (\$/gallon)	Cost New Tire	Cost Recapped Tire	Number of Tires	Bias or Radial Ply Tires - Enter 1	if Radial, O if Bias	Ton-Mile Tax Rate	Annual Maintenance	Average Annual Repair in \$/Mile	Miscellaneous Variable Costs	
PRESS	STO 01	STO 02	STO 03	STO 04	STO 05	STO 06	STO 07	STO 08	STO 09	STO 10	STO 11	STO 12	STO 13		STO 14	STO 15	STO 20	STO 21	STO 22	STO 23	STO 24	STO 25		STO 26	STO 27	STO 28	STO 29	
INDIVIDUAL HAULER DATA								The same of the sa								The state of the s		مستخدم و مستخدم مستخدم و مستخدم و المستخدم و			A THE PARTY OF THE		And the state of t		With the second			and the state of t
REP. ROUTE DATA						A Maria Salata Caranter Carant			***************************************													The state of the s				+123-134-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14		Control of the last of the las

REP. ROUTE	INDIVIDUAL <u>HAULER</u>	PRESS	DISPLAY/PRINT
	·	A	Total Annual Fixed Costs (TFC)
<del>ter a red- end an Marian siaminan, in an ana ana ana an</del> ,	And the state of t	R/S	TFC/Mile
(CA-2) <del>1-77 - Bases are reserved to the extraction to</del>	The state of the s	R/S	TFC/Cwt.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	R/S	TFC/Minute
		K, D	
		В	Total Annual Variable Costs (TVC)
ere ere committee er er ere ere ere ere ere ere ere e		R/S	Total Costs (TC)
		R <b>/S</b>	TC/Cwt.
production relative state of the state of th	· ·	R/S	TC/Mile
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	R/S	TVC/Cwt.
	<del></del>	R/S	TVC/Mile
<del></del>	- Approximate and the control of the	C	Stop Payment
		R/S	Mileage Payment/Mile
	•	R/S	Volume Payment/Cwt.
		de For Calculat Il Basic Hauling	
A. Stop cha	rge x avg. no. of	stops per day =	while stopping at farms.
	X		
B. 1. Dail	y route miles x mi	leage payment/m	Daily cost of running tile (TC/mile) = the truck and fixed cost of truck and labor while driving.
<u> </u>	х		
C. Daily cw	t. of milk x volum	me payment/cwt.	= Volume related cost at plant & farm.
Annual Control of the	x		
	ily Route Cost (TI + C = TDRC)	DRC) =	
TDRC + N	o. of miles = TDRO	per mile =	
, TDRC + N	o. of cwt. = TDRO	per cwt. =	

# BULK MILK ASSEMBLY PROGRAM

001 002 003 004 005 006 007 008	00 H 00 H 65 :: 53 F:L 65 75 R:L 65 R:L	051 052 053 054 055 057 058 059 060 061 062 063	43 RUL 09 H9 85 43 RUL 10 0 85 43 RUL 11 1 85 43 RUL 13 3 85 43 RUL	100 101 103 105 106 107 107 108 107 108 108 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109	06545991 R. I.
049		099	03 ::	 149	'76 LIIL

1515345678901234567890123 1515578901234567890123 151511111111111111111111111111111111	43 125 149 155 153 8 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	206 207 209 210 211 213 214 215 211 212 212 212 213 213 213 213 213 213	21 :1 : L2 : L3 : S : S : S : S : S : S : S : S : S :	23456789012345678900123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789000000000000000000000000000000000000	51 91 S L 1
177 178 179 180 181 182 183 184 185 186	499 43 REL 07 .07 48 ELC 04 .04 48 ELC 07 .07 43 REL 499 92 REN 76 LEL	234 235 236 237 239 240 241 242 243	54 54 65 71 SER 35 1 X 65 :: 43 REL 24 ::45 54	289 290 291 293 293 295 296 299	91 R.S 43 R.L 53 !33 55 71 SHR 35 1 X 95 :: 99 PI:T 91 R.S 43 R.L
188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197	35 1 % 53 REL 01 W1 65 :: 06	244 245 246 247 249 251 253 254	65 71 SNR	305 306 307 308 309 310	53 43 RCL 02 H2 65 :: 03 :: 06 H 05 !: 54 : 95 ::
199 200 201 202 203 204 205	12	255 256 257 258 259 260 281	35 1 X 85 43 RLL 27 17 85 43 RLL 28 18 65 1 X 85 1 X 85 43 RLL 29 19 95 1	311 312 313	91 R S 43 R L 51 S1 55 71 SER 35 1 8

331 85 332 07 333 05 334 54 335 65 334 341 30 342 345 345 346 347 43 RU 347 43 RU 347 43 RU 355 354 43 RU 355 355 355 355 355 355 355 355 355 35	367 368 369 370 371 372 373 373 373 373 373 373 373 381 382 383 384 385 389 391 391 393 401 403 403 404 405 406 407 407 407 407 407 407 407 407 407 407	00	414 416 417 418 419 421 423 423 423 423 423 423 423 433 433 434 435 436 436 436 436 436 436 436 436 436 436	54 55 06 06 11 54 76 12 53 43 76 12 65 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
--	--	----	---	--

NOTE: RTN is programmed as INV SBR