

C. F. Handy C. A. Bratton

Department of Agricultural Economics New York State College of Agriculture A Statutory College of the State University Cornell University, Ithaca, New York New York has long been known for its maple syrup and related maple products. These products are produced only in certain areas of the world. They are delicacies and are used by most people as a specialty food. For New York dairymen, a sugarbush on the farm makes it possible to have a secondary enterprise. In years past, most farmers with a sugarbush made maple syrup.

Changes in the nature of farming have had a bearing on the maple enterprise. As dairies have increased in size, some farmers have given up syrup making because of time. New methods used in sugaring require the investment of capital. This immediately brings up a management question, will an investment in new maple equipment pay?

Many small sugar operations have been discontinued. However, the maple industry has continued to be important in the State. Vermont for many years was the leading state in maple syrup production. In the past decade, New York has passed Vermont in syrup production a couple of years and has been a close second the other years.

Cash receipts from the farm marketings of maple products in New York for 1967 was low and amounted to \$1.3 million. This compares with \$2.0 million in 1966, \$1.8 million in 1965, \$2.2 million in 1962, and \$2.5 million in 1957. Maple receipts for the nation in 1967 amounted to 5 million dollars with New York accounting for 26 percent of this amount.

Maple producers in Lewis County and other areas are confronted with two major management questions. First, does the maple enterprise pay, and second, what might they do to increase the returns from this enterprise? Some cooperators in the Lewis County Farm Business Management Project decided to study the maple enterprise. Nineteen maple producers submitted enterprise records for 1968 and these provide the basis for the study reported here. It is hoped that the information from this study will be of use to producers in making management decisions relating to the maple enterprise.

Table 1. MAPLE SYRUP PRODUCTION AND PRICE
New York and U. S., 1958-1968

	Production	(1,000 gal.)	Price pe	r gallon
Year	N. Y.	U.S.	N. Y.	<u>U. S.</u>
1958-62	409	1,323	\$4.45	\$4.75
1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968	368 512 410 480 275 300	1,143 1,546 1,266 1,476 979 979	4.45 4.55 4.55 4.40 5.00 NA	4.86 5.02 5.04 4.96 5.33*

^{*} Preliminary

Resources Used in Maple Enterprise

The nineteen maple producers included in this study were farmers who volunteered to keep and submit records for 1968. They do not necessarily represent all producers in Lewis County. The results presented are simply those of the nineteen producers. It is believed that this group is typical of many producers both in Lewis County and other areas in New York State.

Table 2. PHYSICAL INPUTS FOR MAPLE ENTERPRISE 19 Lewis County Farms, 1968

Item		Your	farm	A	vera	ge 19 farms
Acres in woods				. A	54	(18 farms)
Number of trees				. 2	,000	(17 farms)
Number of taps				2	,300	
Labor used: (hours) Operator					202	hours
Family					80	11
Regular farm help					30	n
Extra hired help	•		•		246	ıı
Total					558	hours

The 17 farms that reported number of trees averaged 2,000, while their number of taps averaged 2,400. This is 1.2 taps per tree. However, one producer averaged 2.8 taps per tree. The operators labor accounted for a little over one-third of the total. Extra labor hired for syrup making accounted for 42 percent of all labor.

Each producer estimated the value of his sap house and other special maple equipment. This averaged \$4,200 per farm with a range from \$1,000 to \$14,000.

Table 3. INVENTORY VALUE OF MAPLE EQUIPMENT
19 Lewis County Farms, 1968

Item	Number reporting	Your farm	Average 19
Sap house Evaporator Finishing pan Plastic tubing Buckets, covers & spiles Tanks and pails Tapping machine Trailer or sleds Other equipment	19 19 6 6 17 19 18 16 18		\$ 766 669 191 351 940 326 93 118 774
Total			\$4,228

Income From Maple Enterprise

Each producer reported the quantity and value of sales of maple products. In addition, they estimated the amount and value of products consumed by the family and given as gifts. Products on hand at the time the records were collected in early fall were included with the sales. Syrup on hand the first of the year was deducted from the sales to get the quantity of syrup made in 1968.

Table 4. INCOME FROM THE MAPLE ENTERPRISE 19 Lewis County Farms, 1968

	Your	farm	Average	19 farms
Item	Gallons	Value	Gallons	Value
Sales: Syrup in cans Syrup in drums		\$	327 146	\$1,778 586
Cream & candy (quantity in syrup equivalent)			<u>28</u>	258
Total sales		\$	501	\$2,622
Home use and gifts			19	93
Total income Less on hand at beginning TOTAL 1968 SYRUP INCOME		\$ \$	520 <u>10</u> 510	\$2,715 41 \$2,674

These 19 producers made an average of 510 gallons of syrup in 1968. The range was from a low of 110 gallons on one farm to a high of 1,700 gallons. The average value per gallon was \$5.22. Syrup sold in drums averaged \$4.01 per gallon, that sold in cans \$5.44, and that sold as cream and candy \$9.21. The range in average value per gallon for individual producers was from \$4.33 to \$7.17.

Ten producers made and sold maple cream and/or maple candy. The quantities of these products (usually given in pounds) were converted to gallons of syrup equivalent. A conversion factor of 8 pounds of cream or candy per gallon of syrup was used.

Five producers reported cash expense for sap or syrup purchased. This is included in the figures given above for syrup made in 1968.

Cost of Production

An economic study of an enterprise must include the cost of production. This is not easy since some of the costs are combined with those of other enterprises. Also, there are problems in determining some overhead of fixed costs. Allocations and estimates must be made. Although the cost of production thus determined is not precise, it does give a good indication and is believed to be reasonable.

Table 5. COST OF PRODUCTION OF THE MAPLE ENTERPRISE 19 Lewis County Farms, 1968

Item	Farms reporting	Your farm	Average 19 farms
Cash items			
Extra hired labor	15	\$	\$426
Containers	19	····	171
Sap or syrup Fuel	5	*	119
Repairs, house & equipment	9 1 5		71 46
Spraying	3		40 42
Taxes	19		94
Insurance	15		16
Electricity	19 15 8 4		10
Tree rental	4		12
Miscellaneous			19
Total Cash Costs		\$\$	<u> </u>
Overhead items			•
Depreciation (house & equip.) 19	\$	\$453
Interest @ 5% on inventory	, 19	T	211
Use of sugarbush @ 5¢/tap	19		108
Fuel wood @ \$1/20 gal. syrup	11		13
Use of tractor @ \$1.25/hr.	18	:	106
Use of trailer @ \$.50/hr.	13		41
Use of other equipment	12	-	27
Total Overhead Items			959
Potal cost other than regular	Labor	\$	\$1,985
Regular labor other than operat	or @ \$1.25/1	hr.	138
otal cost other than operator	s labor	\$	\$2,123
Value operator's labor @ \$1.75/		The second secon	354
TOTAL COST PRODUCTION		\$	\$2,477

For taxes, insurance, and electricity, the farmer estimated the share of the total farm item which should be allocated to the maple enterprise. Depreciation was calculated for each item inventoried. In previous studies, charge for use of the sugarbush has been figured at 5¢ per tap and the value of fuel wood at \$1 per 20 gallons of syrup made. The 5¢ per tap is a charge for the use of the investment in the sugarbush. It is comparable to an interest charge on the investment. Machinery and labor costs per hour were based on typical rates used in New York State.

Financial Summary

The financial returns from an enterprise can be calculated in several ways. Four measures have been used in this study. They are: enterprise profit or loss; net cash flow; return per hour of regular labor; and return per hour of operator's labor.

Table 6. FINANCIAL SUMMARY OF MAPLE ENTERPRISE 19 Lewis County Farms, 1968

		Your farm	Average 19 farms
1.	Profit or loss Total 1968 Syrup Income Total Costs of Production NET PROFIT OR LOSS	\$ \$	\$2,674 2,477 \$ 197
2.	Cash Flow Total Sales Total Cash Costs NET CASH FLOW	\$ \$	\$2,622 1,026 <u>\$1,596</u>
3.	Return Per Hour Regular Labor Total 1968 Syrup Income Costs other than regular labor Return to regular labor	\$ \$	\$2,674 1,985 \$ 689
	Hours of regular Labor RETURNS PER HOUR REGULAR LABOR	\$	312 <u>\$2.21</u>
4.	Return Per Hour Operator's Labor Total 1968 Syrup Income Costs other than operator's labor Return to operator's labor	\$ \$	\$2,674 2,123 \$ 551
	Hours operator's labor RETURN PER HOUR OPERATOR'S LABOR	\$	\$2.73

The profit or loss reflects the return to management from the enterprise. The average profit was \$197 but seven of the farms had a loss while 12 had profits. The range was from a loss of \$600 to a profit of \$1,500. Since many of the costs are fixed some think in terms of the cash situation or the net cash flow. This averaged \$1,596 with a range from \$500 to \$3,500.

In considering the returns to the regular farm labor force and the operator, it is well to keep in mind that these are fixed items as far as the business is concerned. Any return from the maple enterprise might be considered as a net gain since the assumption might be that the labor would not have been used productively otherwise. This assumption can be challenged if the work on the maple enterprise interferred with the dairy or other farm enterprises.

Business Factors

It is common to find a wide variation in the net returns from any business venture. This is true with this maple study. Managers then ask why this variation exists. Business studies over the years have shown that there usually are some key factors which affect the profitability of the business. Some likely factors have been calculated for these maple enterprises.

Table 7. MAPLE ENTERPRISE BUSINESS FACTORS
19 Lewis County Farms, 1968

Factor	Your farm	Average 19 farms
Size: Number of taps	79.5	2,300
Gallons syrup made 1968 Total 1968 syrup income	\$	510 \$2,674
Rate of production: Gallons syrup per tap		.21
Labor efficiency: Gallons syrup per hour labor		.91
Capital efficiency: Investment per tap	\$	\$1.83
Cost control: Cash cost per gallon syrup Costs other than regular labor/gallon Total cost per gallon syrup	\$ 8	\$2.01 \$3.89 \$4.86
Price: Income per gallon of syrup	\$	\$5.22

One technique used in analyzing a specific business is to compare its business factors with what others are doing. This can be done in the table above.

If the cost control measures here seem high, you can compute the cost per gallon for each of the major cost items. This will help to pinpoint the specific sources of the high costs.

Size of Enterprise

Five of the maple producers had more than 3,000 taps each. For a study of the effects of size, the averages for these 5 farms were calculated. Below are comparisons for selected factors of the average for the 5 largest enterprises with the group of 19.

Table 8. COMPARISON OF 5 LARGEST ENTERPRISES AND ALL 19
19 Lewis County Farms, 1968

. и.		Average all
	Average 5 large enterprises	19 farms
Item	Gitter by roop	
Number of taps Maple enterprise inventory Gallons syrup made 1968 Hours of labor on syrup Percent extra hired labor was of total	4,740 \$8,270 992 1,012 57%	2,300 \$4,230 510 558 44%
Total 1968 syrup income Total cost of production Net Profit from Enterprises	\$5,147 4,617 \$ 530 \$2,833	\$2,674 2,477 \$ 197 \$1,596
Net Cash Flow Income per gallon syrup Cash cost per gallon syrup	\$5.19 \$2.22 \$4.65	\$5.22 \$2.01 \$4.86
Total cost per gallon syrup Gallons syrup per tap Gallons syrup per hour labor Returns to operator per gallon made	.21 .98 \$1.08	.21 .91 \$1.08
(for his labor and management) Return per hour to regular labor Return per hour of operator labor	\$2.83 \$3.47	\$2.21 \$2.73

For all measures of financial returns, the large enterprises paid better than the average of all 19 farms. The large enterprises had considerably more invested, but the quantity produced was in proportion so that the investment per tap and the total cost per gallon were less than the average of the 19.

Array of Factors

Individual factors were calculated for each farm. In order to see how your factors compare with the other 18, arrays have been made from best to poorest for several important factors. Each factor is arrayed independently of all other factors. For example, the "top" farm for one factor might be the bottom one in the next factor column. Circle your factor in each column.

Number of taps	Gallons syrup	Gallons syrup	Gallons syrup
	made in 1968	per tap	per hour labor
9,000	1,700	.36	1.60
4,000	920	.32	1.29
3,800	890	.29	1.25
3,600	740	.28	1.13
3,300	710	.27	1.12
2,700	570	.27	1.11
2,300	480	.26	1.05
2,200	420	.25	1.00
2,100	400	.25	.86
1,600	375	.24	.84
1,300 1,260 1,200 1,050 1,000	373 360 330 250 220	.19 .19 .19 .18 .17	.83 .82 .80 .78
900	210	•17	.77
850	190	•16	.67
800	130	•16	.55
800	110	•10	.46

Array of Factors contd.

Income per	Cash cost per	Return per hour	Return per hour operator labor
gallon syrup	gallon syrup	all regular labor	
\$7.17	\$.34	\$9.52	\$9.52
7.10	.70	4.02	5.40
6.47	1.05	3.90	4.98
6.38	1.16	3.40	4.75
5.58	1.16	3.37	4.67
5.46	1.23	3.06	4.49
5.26	1.28	2.93	4.15
5.08	1.28	2.59	4.03
4.86	1.34	2.46	3.72
4.83	1.35	2.41	3.03
4.78	1.51	2.31	2.88
4.69	1.82	1.90	1.95
4.65	2.20	1.24	1.22
4.58	2.44	.91	.91
4.56	2.51	.43	34
4.55	2.94	.19	48
4.41	3.09	.18	82
4.38	3.50	96	-1.90
4.33	3.78	-1.34	-3.97

Table 9.	PRICE PER	GALLON AND	RETURNS FROM	MAPLE	ENTER DRIVE
		19 Lewis	County Farms,	1968	TE(T LEVI 1/17) [7

Item	High Price over \$5.50	Low Price under \$4.60	All farms
Number of farms	. 5	6	19
Gallons made 1968	709	517	510
Average price	\$6.54	\$4.47	\$5.22
Profit or loss	\$196	\$332	\$197
Return per hour operator's labor	\$2.76	\$3.98	\$2.73

It appears that a high average price per gallon was not a major factor contributing to high returns from the enterprise.

Table 10. MAPLE INVESTMENT AND RETURNS FROM MAPLE ENTERPRISE 19 Lewis County Farms, 1968

Item	Investment		All
	over \$4,500	under \$2,000	farms
Number of farms	6	5	19
Gallons made 1968	782	226	510
Maple investment	\$7,999	\$1,440	\$4,228
Profit or loss	\$58	- \$8	
Return per hour	••	φυ	\$197
operator's labor	\$1.33	\$1.65	\$2.73

New equipment usually means an increase in the total inventory value of the sap house and maple equipment. The six farms with the largest investments made lower returns than the average of all 19 farms.

Comparison With St. Lawrence County

Ten maple producers in St. Lawrence County submitted records on their maple enterprise for 1966 and 1967. A comparison of the group from Lewis County with the one from St. Lawrence County is made below. It should be kept in mind that these are for different years.

Table 11. COMPARISON OF MAPLE ENTERPRISE BUSINESS FACTORS
Lewis and St. Lawrence Counties

	St. Lawrer	Lewis Count	
Item	1966	1967	1968
Number of farms	10	10	19
Inputs Number of taps	1,795	1,616	2,305
Inventory maple equipment	NA	\$2,146	\$4,228
Hours of labor - operator - other	358 242	320 228	202 356
<u>Output</u> Gallons of syrup made	467	356	510
Financial Summary Total receipts	\$2,031	\$1,604	\$2,674
Total costs	1,948	1,779	2,447
Profit or loss	\$ 83	\$ -175	\$ 197
Net cash flow	NA	\$ 945	\$1,596
Return per hour operator's labor	\$ 1.98	\$ 1.20	\$ 2.73
Production Gallons syrup per tap	.26	.22	.22
Labor efficiency Gallons syrup per hour labor	.78	. 65	.91
<u>Price</u> Receipts per gallon	\$4.35	\$4.49	\$5.22

Management Questions for Maple Producers

- 1. Can you make a profit from a maple enterprise?
- 2. How does size affect returns?
- 3. Should gift and home use products be included as income?
- 4. What part of total costs are cash items?
- 5. Are depreciation and interest "real" costs?
- 6. Can you afford to invest in new equipment?
- 7. Would it pay better to sell sap than to invest in new equipment?
- 8. Does a profit of only \$197 mean you should discontinue your maple enterprise?
- 9. What would your regular farm labor do with time now spent on syrup if you dropped the maple enterprise?
- 10. Does the maple enterprise affect your management of the dairy?
- 11. Would it ever be desirable to continue the maple enterprise even though your return per hour on regular labor is less than you are paying them now?
- 12. Is price a major factor?
- 13. What do you consider to be the major factors affecting returns from a maple enterprise?
- 14. How could you increase your return from maple?